arXiv:1304.7554v1 [math.RT] 29 Apr 2013

Exotic symmetric space over a finite field, III

Toshiaki Shoji and Karine Sorlin*

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{X} = G/H \times V$, where V is a symplectic space such that $G = GL(V)$ and $H = Sp(V)$. In previous papers, the authors constructed character sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$, based on the explicit data. On the other hand, there exists a conceptual definition of character sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$ based on the idea of Ginzburg in the case of symmetric spaces. Our character sheaves form a subset of Ginzburg type character sheaves. In this paper we show that these two definitions actually coincide with, which implies a classification of Ginzburg type character sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$.

Introduction

Let $\mathbf{k}$ be an algebraic closure of a finite field $\mathbf{F}_q$ with odd characteristic. Let V be an $2n$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{k}$ and let $G = GL(V)$. There exists an involutive automorphism θ on G such that $H = G^\theta \simeq Sp_{2n}$. Put $G^{\iota\theta} = \{g \in G \mid \theta(g) = g^{-1}\}$, which is isomorphic to the symmetric space G/H. We consider the variety $\mathcal{X} = G^{\iota\theta} \times V$ on which H acts diagonally. In [SS], [SS2], the intersection cohomology associated to H-orbits on $\mathcal{X}$ was studied. In particluar, the set of character sheaves $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ was defined in [SS] as a certain set of H-equivariant simple perverse sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$. We consider the $\mathbf{F}_q$-structure on $\mathcal{X}$ with Frobenius map $F : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$, and denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}^F$ the set of F-stable character sheaves $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ (i.e. such that $F^*A \simeq A$). It was shown in [SS2] that the set of characteristic functions of character sheaves in $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}^F$ forms a basis of the space $\mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X})$ of H^F-invariant functions on $\mathcal{X}^F$.

Our definition of character sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$ was based on the explicit data of simple perverse sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$. However, there exists a more conceptual approach for defining character sheaves. In fact, Ginzburg [Gi] defined character sheaves on symmetric spaces in a way independent of the classification, which is a generalization of Lusztig's definition of character sheaves, and Grojnowski [Gr] classified thsu defined charcter sheaves in the case of G/H. As pointed out by Henderson and Trapa [HT], it is possible to extend Ginzburg type definition of character sheaves on the symmetric space G/H to our variety $\mathcal{X} \simeq G/H \times V$. We denote the set of thus defined character sheaves by $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}'$, tentatively. Then we have $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{X}}'$, and in fact, $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ corresponds to the so-called principal series part of $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}'$. As an analogy of the theory of character sheaves on reductive groups, it is natural to expect that the set of F-stable character sheaves $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}'^F$ will form a basis of $\mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X})$. So we conjectured in [SS2] that $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} = \widehat{\mathcal{X}}'$. The aim of this paper is to show that this certainly holds.

---

*supported by ANR JCJC REPRED, ANR-09-JCJC-0102-01.

On the other hand, for any finite dimensional vector space V over $\mathbf{k}$, we consider the variety $\mathcal{X}_1 = G \times V$ with $G = GL(V)$, on which G acts diagonally. The set of character sheaves on $\mathcal{X}_1$, which is a certain set of G-equivariant simple perverse sheaves on $\mathcal{X}_1$, was introduced by Finkelberg, Ginzburg and Travkin [FGT]. We denote it as $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_1'$. They call those character sheaeves as mirabolic character sheaves. $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_1'$ was studied extensively by them in connection with affine Grassmannian and Hall algebras, and by Achar and Henderson [AH] in connection with the geometry of the enhanced nilpotent cone. However, the classification of $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_1'$ was not known. In this paper, we also give a classification of $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_1'$.

Our strategy is quite similar to the case of character sheaves developed by Lusztig [L3, I]. We consider a variety $\mathcal{X}$ which is a direct product of various $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_1$ as above and of various GL_{2m}/Sp_{2m}, GL_m. We construct induction functors and restriction functors on a certain category related to $\mathcal{X}$, and define a cuspidal character sheaf as in the case of original character sheaves, by making use of restriction functors. We show that in our case cuspidal character sheaves only occur in the case where G is a torus, and that any character sheaf in $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}'$ is obtained as a simple direct summand of the induction from the Borel subgroup. This gives the required identity $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} = \widehat{\mathcal{X}}'$.

Table of Contents

0. Preliminaries on perverse sheaves
1. Intersection cohomology on $G^{\iota\theta} \times V$-revisited
2. Intersection cohomology on $GL(V) \times V$
3. Definition of character sheaves
4. Induction
5. Restriction
6. Classification of character sheaves

0. Preliminaries on perverse sheaves

0.1. In this section, we list up some known properties of perverse sheaves which will be used later (mainly after Section 3). The basic references for them are [BBD] and [BL]. Let $\mathbf{k}$ be the algebraic closure of a finite field $\mathbf{F}_q$. For an algebraic variety X over $\mathbf{k}$, let $\mathcal{D}X = \mathcal{D}_c^b(X, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ be the bounded derived category of constructible $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$-sheaves. Let $\mathcal{D}X^{\le 0}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}X$ whose objects are thouse K in $\mathcal{D}X$ such that, for any integer i, $\dim \operatorname{supp} \mathcal{H}^iK \le -i$. Let $\mathcal{D}X^{\ge 0}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}X$ whose objects are those K such that $DK \in \mathcal{D}X^{\le 0}$, where DK is the Verdier dual of K. The category of perverse sheaves $\mathcal{M}X$ is defined as the full subcategroy of $\mathcal{D}X$ whose objects are those $K \in \mathcal{D}X^{\le 0} \cap \mathcal{D}X^{\ge 0}$. Hence $\mathcal{M}X$ is the heart of the t-structure of $\mathcal{D}X$ defined by $(\mathcal{D}X^{\le 0}, \mathcal{D}X^{\ge 0})$, and is an abelian category in which all objects have finite length.

We have a cohomological functor ${}^pH^0 : \mathcal{D}X \to \mathcal{M}X$. The perverse cohomology functor ${}^pH^i : \mathcal{D}X \to \mathcal{M}X$ is defined by ${}^pH^iK = {}^pH^0(K[i])$ for $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. For $K \in \mathcal{D}X$, a simple perverse sheaf $A \in \mathcal{M}X$ is said to be a perverse constituent of K if A is isomorphic to a simple constituent of some ${}^pH^iK$.

0.2. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism between algebraic varieties X and Y. We have functors $f_*, f_! : \mathcal{D}X \to \mathcal{D}Y$ and $f^*, f^! : \mathcal{D}Y \to \mathcal{D}X$ which satisfy the following adjointness properties; for any $A \in \mathcal{D}X, B \in \mathcal{D}Y$,

$$\operatorname{Hom}(f^*B, A) = \operatorname{Hom}(B, f_*A), \tag{0.2.1}$$

$$\operatorname{Hom}(f_!A, B) = \operatorname{Hom}(A, f^!B). \tag{0.2.2}$$

If f is proper, $f_* = f_!$. If f is smooth with connected fibres of dimension d, $f^! = f^*[2d](d)$, where (d) is the Tate twist. In this case, we set $\widetilde{f} = f^*[d]$.

Assume that f is smooth with connected fibres of dimension d. The following properties are well-known (see [BBD, 4.2.5]); if $K \in \mathcal{D}Y$, then $K \in \mathcal{D}Y^{\le 0}$ (resp. $K \in \mathcal{D}Y^{\ge 0}$) if and only if $\widetilde{f}K \in \mathcal{D}X^{\le 0}$ (resp. $\widetilde{f}K \in \mathcal{D}X^{\ge 0}$), hence $K \in \mathcal{M}Y$ if and only if $\widetilde{f}K \in \mathcal{M}X$. Moreover, the perverse cohomology functor ${}^pH^i$ commutes with $\widetilde{f}$. We have

(0.2.3) If $K \le \mathcal{D}Y^{\le 0}$, $K' \in \mathcal{D}Y^{\ge 0}$, then

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}Y}(K, K') = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}X}(\widetilde{f}K, \widetilde{f}K').$$

In particular, $\widetilde{f} : \mathcal{M}Y \to \mathcal{M}X$ is fully faithful.

0.3. If G is an algebraic group acting on X, we denote by $\mathcal{D}^G(X)$ the G-equivariant derived category on X in the sense of Bernstein and Lunts [BL], which is a triangulated category with t-structure. As its heart, we have a category $\mathcal{M}^G(X)$ of G-equivariant perverse sheaves. We have forgetful functors $\mathcal{D}^G(X) \to \mathcal{D}X$, $\mathcal{M}^G(X) \to \mathcal{M}X$. If G is connected, $\mathcal{M}^G(X) \to \mathcal{M}X$ is fully faithful.

If G acts on X, Y, and $f : X \to Y$ is a G-equivariant map, the functors $f_! : \mathcal{D}X \to \mathcal{D}Y$, $f^* : \mathcal{D}Y \to \mathcal{D}X$ can be lifted to the functors between $\mathcal{D}^G(X)$ and $\mathcal{D}^G(Y)$, which we denote by the same symbols $f_!, f^*$. If f is a principal G-bundle, then $f^* : \mathcal{D}^G(Y) \to \mathcal{D}^G(X)$ factors through an equivalence $\mathcal{D}Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}^G(X)$ by [BL, 2.2.5]. We denote by $f_\flat : \mathcal{D}^G(X) \to \mathcal{D}Y$ the inverse of this equivalence.

0.4. Let T be a torus acting freely on X, and $\mathcal{L}$ be a local system of T of rank one. We denote by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}X$ whose objects are complexes K such that $a^*K \simeq \mathcal{L} \boxtimes K \in \mathcal{D}(T \times X)$, where $a : T \times X \to X$ is the action of T. $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$ inherits the t-structure of $\mathcal{D}X$, and we denote its heart by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$. We have forgetful functors $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}(X) \to \mathcal{D}X$, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}}(X) \to \mathcal{M}X$, and the latter is fully faithful. These properties comes from [BL]. If an algebraic group G acts on X, commuting with the action of T, then one can define $\mathcal{D}^G_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$ and $\mathcal{M}^G_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$ in an obvious way.

The following remark ([Gr, 0.6], [H2, Lemma 1.1]) is basic.

(0.4.1) Let T be a functor $\mathcal{D}X \to \mathcal{D}Y$ which sends distinguished triangles in $\mathcal{D}X$ to those in $\mathcal{D}Y$, and commutes with degree shift (such as the composite of $f_!, f^*, f_\flat$ and degree shift). Take $K \in \mathcal{D}X$. Then A is a perverse constituent of $T(K)$ if and only if A is a perverse constituent of $T(A')$ for some perverse constituent A' of K.

In fact, this follows easily from the perverse cohomology long exact sequence associated to the distinguished traingle $(T(K_1), T(K_2), T(K_3))$, where

$$(K_1, K_2, K_3) = ({}^pH^iK[-i], {}^p\tau_{\geq i}K, {}^p\tau_{>i}K)$$

is the distinguished triangle obtained by applying the functor ${}^p\tau_{\geq 0}$ to the distinguished trianlge $({}^p\tau_{\leq 0}(K[i]), K[i], {}^p\tau_{>1}(K[i]))$.

1. Intersection cohomology on $G^{\iota\theta} \times V$ -revisited

1.1. We follow the notation in [SS]. In particular, $G = GL(V)$ with $\dim V = 2n$, and $\theta : G \to G$ is an involutive automorphism on G such that $H = G^\theta \simeq Sp_{2n}$. Let B be a θ-stable Borel subgroup of G containing a θ-stable maximal torus T. As in [SS], put $\mathcal{X} = G^{\iota\theta} \times V$ on which H acts diagonally, where $G^{\iota\theta} = \{g \in G \mid \theta(g) = g^{-1}\}$, which coincides with the set $\{g\theta(g)^{-1} \mid g \in G\}$. Let $M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_{2n} = V$ be the total flag in V stabilized by B. Thus $M_0 \subset \cdots \subset M_n$ is the isotropic flag corresponding to B^θ, and we have $M_{n+i} = M_{n-i}^\perp$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Extending the notations in [SS, 3.1], we define, for any integer $0 \leq m \leq 2n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m &= \{(x, v, gB^\theta) \in G^{\iota\theta} \times V \times H/B^\theta \mid g^{-1}xg \in B^{\iota\theta}, g^{-1}v \in M_m\}, \\
\mathcal{X}_m &= \bigcup_{g \in H} g(B^{\iota\theta} \times M_m), \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_m &= \{(x, v, gB^\theta) \in G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}} \times V \times H/B^\theta \mid g^{-1}xg \in B^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}}, g^{-1}v \in M_m\}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_m &= \bigcup_{g \in H} g(B^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}} \times M_m),
\end{aligned}$$

where $G^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}}, B^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ are as in [SS, 1.8]. We consider the diagram

(1.1.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd} T^{\iota\theta} & \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m \arrow[l, "\alpha^{(m)}"'] \arrow[r, "\pi^{(m)}"] & \mathcal{X}_m, \end{tikzcd}$$

where $\pi^{(m)}(x, v, gB^\theta) = (x, v)$ and $\alpha^{(m)}(x, v, gB^\theta) = p(g^{-1}xg)$ ($p : B^{\iota\theta} \to T^{\iota\theta}$ is the projection). For a tame local system $\mathcal{E}$ on $T^{\iota\theta}$, consider the complex $K_m = (\pi^{(m)})_*(\alpha^{(m)})^*\mathcal{E}[\dim \mathcal{X}_m]$. In the case where $m = n$, the structure of K_n was described in [SS, Theorem 4.2]. In this section, we shall extend it to the case $K_{n'}$ where n' is any integer $0 \leq n' \leq 2n$.

1.2. First we consider the case where $n' \leq n$. (What we need in later discussions is the case where $n' \geq n$. We include the case $n' < n$ just for the reference.) We fix an integer $n' \leq n$, and write $\mathcal{X}_{n'}, \mathcal{Y}_{n'}, \pi^{(n')}$, etc. as $\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{Y}', \pi'$, etc. Let $\psi' : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}' \to \mathcal{Y}'$ be the restriction of π' on $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}'$. As in [SS, 3.2], for each subset $I \subset [1, n']$ such that $|I| = m$, , we define a subset M_I of $M_{n'}$, and a map $\psi_I : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I \to \mathcal{Y}^0_m$, where $\mathcal{Y}^0_m = \mathcal{Y}_m \backslash \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}$ and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I = H \times^{B^\theta \cap Z_H(T^{\iota\theta})} (T^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}} \times M_I).$$

Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I$ can be identified with a subset of $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}'$. Put $(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^+_m)' = (\psi')^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}^0_m)$. We define $\mathcal{W} = N_H(T^{\iota\theta})/Z_H(T^{\iota\theta}) \simeq S_n$, and let $\mathcal{W}'$ be a subgroup of $\mathcal{W}$ corresponding to the subgroup $S_{n'}$ of S_n. Then $\mathcal{W}'$ acts naturally on $(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^+_m)'$, and we have as in [SS, (3.4.1)]

$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^+_m)' = \coprod_{\substack{I\subset[1,n'] \\ |I|=m}} \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I = \coprod_{w\in\mathcal{W}'/\mathcal{W}'_{\mathbf{m}}} w(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{[1,m]}),$$

where $\mathcal{W}'_{\mathbf{m}}$ is the stabilizer of $[1,m]$ in $\mathcal{W}'$ (i.e., $\mathcal{W}'_{\mathbf{m}} \simeq S_m \times S_{n'-m}$). We denote by $\psi'_m : (\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^+_m)' \to \mathcal{Y}^0_m$ the restriction of ψ' on $(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^+_m)'$. Then ψ'_m is $\mathcal{W}'$-equivariant with respect to the trivial action of $\mathcal{W}'$ on $\mathcal{Y}^0_m$. Let α'_0 (resp. α_I) be the restriction of $\alpha : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}} \to T^{\iota\theta}$ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}'$ (resp. to $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I$). Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a tame local system on $T^{\iota\theta}$. Then as in [SS, (3.4.2)], we have

$$(\psi'_m)_*(\alpha'_0)^*\mathcal{E} \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{I\subset[1,n'] \\ |I|=m}} (\psi_I)_*\alpha_I^*\mathcal{E}.$$

1.3. By [SS, 3.2], the map ψ_I is factorized as

$$\psi_I : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_I \xrightarrow{\xi_I} \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_I \xrightarrow{\eta_I} \mathcal{Y}^0_m,$$

and the map ξ_I is a locally trivial fibration with fibre isomorphic to $(SL_2/B_2)^{I'} \simeq \mathbf{P}_1^{I'}$, and the map η_I is a finite Galois covering with Galois group $\mathcal{W}_I$, where I' is the complement of I in $[1,n]$, and $\mathcal{W}_I$ is the stablilzer of I in $\mathcal{W}$, i.e., $\mathcal{W}_I \simeq S_m \times S_{n-m}$. The map α_I is factored as $\alpha_I = \beta_I \circ \xi_I$ by the map $\beta_I : \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_I \to T^{\iota\theta}$. Let $\mathcal{E}_I = \beta_I^*\mathcal{E}$ be a local system on $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_I$, and $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}_I}$ the stabilizer of $\mathcal{E}_I$ in $\mathcal{W}_I$. We put $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}_I} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}$ if $I = [1,m]$. Also put $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}_I} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}}$ in the case where $I = [1,n]$. Then by [SS, (3.4.3)] and by the remark below (3.4.3), we have $\operatorname{End}((\eta_I)_*\mathcal{E}_I) \simeq \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}_I}]$, the group algebra of $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}_I}$, and $(\eta_I)_*\mathcal{E}_I$ is decomposed as

$$(\eta_I)_*\mathcal{E}_I \simeq \bigoplus_{\rho\in\mathcal{W}^\wedge_{\mathcal{E}_I}} \rho\otimes\mathcal{L}_\rho,$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\rho = \operatorname{Hom}(\rho, (\eta_I)_*\mathcal{E}_I)$ is a simple local system on $\mathcal{Y}^0_m$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}' = \mathcal{W}' \ltimes (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{2n'}$ be the Weyl group of type $C_{n'}$. Let $\mathcal{W}'_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{W}' \cap \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\mathcal{W}'_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{W}' \cap \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}_I}$ for $I = [1,m]$. We define $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}'_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{W}'_{\mathcal{E}} \ltimes (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{n'}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}'_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{W}'_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}} \ltimes (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{n'}$. Then by a similar argument as in [SS, 3.5], we see that

$$(\psi'_m)_*(\alpha'_0)^*\mathcal{E} \simeq H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^{n-n'}) \otimes \bigoplus_{\rho\in\mathcal{W}'^\wedge_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}} \operatorname{Ind}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}'_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}}^{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}'_{\mathcal{E}}} (H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^{n'-m}) \otimes \rho) \otimes \mathcal{L}_\rho, \tag{1.3.1}$$

where ρ is considered as a $\mathcal{W}'_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}$-module by the restriction, and then is extended to $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}'_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}$-module (trivial extension), and $H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^{n'-m})$ is regarded as an $S_{n'-m} \ltimes$

$(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{n'-m}$-module thorugh the Springer action of $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{n'-m}$ and the $S_{n'-m}$ action arising from permutations of factors in $\mathbf{P}_1^{n'-m}$. We extend ρ to a $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}'_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}$-module $\widetilde{\rho}$ in such a way that the i-th factor $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ acts trivially on $\widetilde{\rho}$ if $i \le m$, and acts non-trivially otherwise. We define a $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}'_{\mathcal{E}}$-module $\widetilde{V}'_\rho$ by $\widetilde{V}'_\rho = \operatorname{Ind}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}'_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}}^{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}'_{\mathcal{E}}} \widetilde{\rho}$. Note that $\widetilde{V}'_\rho$ is not necessarily irreducible.

Let $\overline{\psi}'_m$ be the restriction of ψ' on $(\psi')^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_m)$, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m$ be the restriction of $(\alpha'_0)^*\mathcal{E}$ on $(\psi')^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_m)$. Then as in [SS, (3.6.1)], one can prove the following formula. Note that $d_m = \dim \mathcal{Y}_m$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\overline{\psi}'_m)_*\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}'_m[d_m] \simeq \\
&\qquad H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^{n-n'})\otimes\Bigl(\bigoplus_{\rho\in\mathcal{W}^\wedge_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}} \operatorname{Ind}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}'_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}}^{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}'_{\mathcal{E}}}(H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^{n'-m})\otimes\rho)\otimes \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{Y}_m,\mathcal{L}_\rho)[d_m] \\
&\qquad\qquad \oplus \bigoplus_{0\le m'<m}\ \bigoplus_{\rho\in\mathcal{W}^\wedge_{\mathbf{m}',\mathcal{E}}} \widetilde{V}'_\rho\otimes \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{Y}_{m'},\mathcal{L}_\rho)[d_{m'}-2(n'-m)]\Bigr).
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.3.2}
$$

Moreover, $\mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{Y}_m,\mathcal{L}_\rho)$ is a complex concentrated to degree 0, which is a constructible sheaf on $\mathcal{Y}_m$. The following proposition can be proved in a similar way as Proposition 3. 6 in [SS], by using (1.3.1) and (1.3.2).

Proposition 1.4. *$(\psi')_*(\alpha'_0)^*\mathcal{E}[d_{n'}]$ is a semisimple complex on $\mathcal{Y}'$, equipped with $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}'_{\mathcal{E}}$-action, and is decomposed as*

$$
\psi'_*(\alpha'_0)^*\mathcal{E}[d_{n'}] \simeq H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^{n-n'})\otimes \bigoplus_{0\le m\le n'}\ \bigoplus_{\rho\in\mathcal{W}^\wedge_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}} \widetilde{V}'_\rho\otimes \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{Y}_m,\mathcal{L}_\rho)[d_m].
$$

Moreover, $\mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{Y}_m,\mathcal{L}_\rho)$ *is a constructible sheaf on* $\mathcal{Y}_m$.

The following result is a generalization of Theorem 4.2 in [SS].

Theorem 1.5. *Assume that $n' \le n$ and let $K_{n',T,\mathcal{E}} = \pi'_*(\alpha')^*\mathcal{E}[d_{n'}]$. Then under the notation in Proposition 1.4, we have*

$$
K_{n',T,\mathcal{E}} \simeq H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^{n-n'})\otimes \bigoplus_{0\le m\le n'}\ \bigoplus_{\rho\in\mathcal{W}^\wedge_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}} \widetilde{V}'_\rho\otimes \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_m,\mathcal{L}_\rho)[d_m].
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X}^0_m = \mathcal{X}_m\backslash\mathcal{X}_{m-1}$. Put $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^+_m = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{X}^0_m)$ and $(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^+_m)' = (\pi')^{-1}(\mathcal{X}^0_m)$. Hence $(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^+_m)'$ is a closed subvariety of $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^+_m$. In [SS, 4.3], for each subset I of $[1,n]$ such that $|I| = m$, a subset $\mathcal{X}_I$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^+_m$ was introduced, and it was proved in [SS, Lemma 4.4] that $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^+_m$ is a disjoint union of $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_I$, where I runs over a subset of $[1,n]$ such that $|I| = m$, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_I$ gives an irreducible component of $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^+_m$. The argument can be applied also for the case of $(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+)'$, and we have

$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+)' = \coprod_{\substack{I\subset[1,n']\\|I|=m}} \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_I \subset \coprod_{\substack{I\subset[1,n]\\|I|=m}} \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_I = \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+. \tag{1.5.1}$$

In particular, the first equality gives the decomposition of $(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+)'$ into (a disjoint union of) irreducible components.

Let π_m be the restriction of π on $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+$, and π'_m that of π' on $(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+)'$. Then the decomposition of $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+$ into irreducible components implies that $(\pi_m)_*\alpha^*\mathcal{E} \simeq \bigoplus_I(\pi_I)_*\alpha^*\mathcal{E}$, where $\pi_I : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_I \to \mathcal{X}_m^0$ is the restriction of π to $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_I$ for each $I \subset [1, n]$. Then it follows from (1.5.1) that

(1.5.2) $(\pi'_m)_*(\alpha')^*\mathcal{E}$ is a direct summand of $(\pi_m)_*\alpha^*\mathcal{E}$.

The following formula is an analogue of Proposition 4.8 in [SS].

$$(\pi'_m)_*(\alpha')^*\mathcal{E} \simeq H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^{n-n'}) \otimes \bigoplus_{\rho\in\mathcal{W}^\wedge_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}} H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^{n'-m}) \otimes V'_\rho \otimes \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_m^0, \mathcal{L}_\rho). \tag{1.5.3}$$

(Here $V'_\rho = \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}'_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}}^{\mathcal{W}'_\mathcal{E}} \rho$ is regarded as a vector space, ignoring the $\mathcal{W}'_\mathcal{E}$-module structure, which coincides with $\widetilde{V}'_\rho$ as a vector space.) We show (1.5.3). $\mathcal{Y}_m^0$ is an open dense smooth subset of $\mathcal{X}_m^0$, and the restriction of $(\pi'_m)_*(\alpha')^*\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathcal{Y}_m^0$ coincides with $(\psi'_m)_*(\alpha'_0)^*\mathcal{E}$. By (1.3.1), we have a similar formula as (1.5.3) for $(\psi'_m)_*(\alpha'_0)^*\mathcal{E}$. Note that $(\pi'_m)_*(\alpha')^*\mathcal{E}$ is a semisimple complex. Hence, in order to show (1.5.3), it is enough to see that $\operatorname{supp} A \cap \mathcal{Y}_m^0 \neq \emptyset$ for any direct summand $A[i]$ (A: simple perverse sheaf) of $(\pi'_m)_*(\alpha')^*\mathcal{E}$. But by (1.5.2), and Proposition 4.8 in [SS], we see any simple perverse sheaf appearing in the decomposition of $(\pi'_m)_*(\alpha')^*\mathcal{E}$ is of the form $\mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_m^0, \mathcal{L}_\rho)$ up to shift, hence has a non-trivial intersection with $\mathcal{Y}_m^0$. Thus (1.5.3) is proved.

Let $\overline{\pi}'_m$ be the restriction of π' on $(\pi')^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_m)$. By a similar discussion as in [SS, 4.9], by using (1.3.2) and (1.5.3) instead of (3.6.1) and Proposition 4.8 in [SS], one can show the following formula for any m such that $0 \le m \le n'$.

(1.5.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\overline{\pi}'_m)_*(\alpha')^*\mathcal{E}[d_m] \simeq \\
&\qquad H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^{n-n'}) \otimes \Bigg(\bigoplus_{\rho\in\mathcal{W}^\wedge_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}} H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^{n'-m}) \otimes V'_\rho \otimes \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{L}_\rho)[d_m] \\
&\qquad\qquad \oplus \bigoplus_{0\le m'<m} \bigoplus_{\rho\in\mathcal{W}^\wedge_{\mathbf{m}',\mathcal{E}}} \widetilde{V}'_\rho \otimes \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_{m'}, \mathcal{L}_\rho)[d_{m'} - 2(n'-m)] \Bigg).
\end{aligned}$$

The theorem now follows from (1.5.4) by applying it to the case where $m = n'$. □

1.6. We consider the case where $n' > n$. By fixing such an n', we consider the objects $\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{Y}', \pi', \psi'$, etc. as before. $M_{n'}$ can be written as $M_{n'} = M_{n_0}^{\perp}$ for an integer n_0, and if we choose a suitable symplectic basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ of V consisting of eigenvectors for T, we have $M_{n'} = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{n_0}, e_{n_0+1}, f_{n_0+1}, \dots, e_n, f_n\rangle$. For each $I \subset [1, n_0], J \subset [n_0+1, n]$, we define a subset $M_{I,J}$ of $M_{n'}$ by

$$M_{I,J} = M_I \times \prod_{j \in J} \langle e_j, f_j\rangle^*$$

where M_I is as in 1.2, and $\langle e_i, f_i\rangle^* = \langle e_i, f_i\rangle - \{0\}$. Then $M_{I,J}$ is a $B^\theta \cap Z_H(T^{\iota\theta})$-stable subset of $M_{n'}$. We have a partition $M_{n'} = \coprod_{I,J} M_{I,J}$, and $\bigcup_{g\in H} g(T^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}} \times M_{I,J}) \subset \mathcal{Y}^0_m$ for $m = |I| + |J|$. Put

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{I,J} = H \times^{B^\theta \cap Z_H(T^{\iota\theta})} (T^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}} \times M_{I,J}).$$

Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{I,J}$ can be idenitifed with a subset of $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}$. Put $(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^+_m)' = (\psi')^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}^0_m)$ for $0 \le m \le n$. Then we have

$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^+_m)' = \coprod_{|I|+|J|=m} \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{I,J}.$$

One can check that $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{I,J}$ are irreducible, and this gives a decompostion of $(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^+_m)'$ into irreducible components. But note that $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{I,J}$ are not equidimensional. As in 1.2, we denote by $\psi'_m : (\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^+_m)' \to \mathcal{Y}^0_m$ the restriction of ψ' on $(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^+_m)'$, and $\psi_{I,J} : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{I,J} \to \mathcal{Y}^0_m$ the restriciton of ψ' on $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{I,J}$. Then under a similar notation as in 1.2, we have

$$(\psi'_m)_*(\alpha'_0)^*\mathcal{E} \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{I\subset[1,n_0], J\subset[n_0+1,n] \\ |I|+|J|=m}} (\psi_{I,J})_*\alpha^*_{I,J}\mathcal{E}. \tag{1.6.1}$$

For $I \subset [1, n_0]$, we define a parabolic subgroup $Z_H(T^{\iota\theta})_I$ of $Z_H(T^{\iota\theta})$ as in [SS, 3.2]. Then $M_{I,J}$ is $Z_H(T^{\iota\theta})_I$-stable, and one can define

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_{I,J} = H \times^{Z_H(T^{\iota\theta})_I} (T^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}} \times M_{I,J}).$$

As in [SS, (3.2.1)], the map $\psi_{I,J}$ is decomposed as

$$\psi_{I,J} : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{I,J} \xrightarrow{\xi_{I,J}} \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_{I,J} \xrightarrow{\eta_{I,J}} \mathcal{Y}^0_m,$$

where $\xi_{I,J}$ is a locally trivial fibration with fibre isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}_1^{I'} \simeq \mathbf{P}_1^{n-|I|}$. Here we consider the variety

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_{I\cup J} = H \times^{Z_H(T^{\iota\theta})_{I\cup J}} (T^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}} \times M_{I\cup J})$$

and the map $\eta_{I\cup J} : \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_{I\cup J} \to \mathcal{Y}^0_m$ as in [SS, 3.4], which is a finite Galois covering with group $\mathcal{W}_{I\cup J}$. Note that $M_{I\cup J} \subset M_{I,J}$ and $Z_H(T^{\iota\theta})_{I\cup J} \subset Z_H(T^{\iota\theta})_I$. It follows that the inclusion $T^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}} \times M_{I\cup J} \hookrightarrow T^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}} \times M_{I,J}$ induces a map $\varphi : \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_{I\cup J} \to \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_{I,J}$ such that $\eta_{I\cup J} = \eta_{I,J} \circ \varphi$. Here we have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_{I\cup J} \simeq H/P_1 \times T^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}}, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_{I,J} \simeq H/P_2 \times T^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}},$$

where $P_1 = Z_{Z_H(T^{\iota\theta})_{I\cup J}}(z)$, $P_2 = Z_{Z_H(T^{\iota\theta})_I}(z)$ for $z \in T^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}} \times M_{I\cup J}$. Since $P_1 = P_2$, we see that φ gives an isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_{I\cup J} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_{I,J}$. The map $\beta_{I,J} : \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_{I,J} \to T^{\iota\theta}$ is defined similar to $\beta_{I\cup J}$ as in [SS, 3.4]. We put $\mathcal{E}_{I,J} = \beta^*_{I,J}\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{I\cup J} = \beta^*_{I\cup J}\mathcal{E}$. Then we have

$$(\eta_{I,J})_*\mathcal{E}_{I,J} \simeq (\eta_{I\cup J})_*\mathcal{E}_{I\cup J}. \tag{1.6.2}$$

Thus as in (3.5.2) in [SS], we have by (1.6.1) and (1.6.2),

$$(\psi'_m)_*(\alpha'_0)^*\mathcal{E}|_{(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^+_m)'} \simeq \bigoplus_{|I|+|J|=m} \bigoplus_{\rho\in\mathcal{W}^\wedge_{I\cup J,\mathcal{E}}} H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^{I'}) \otimes \rho \otimes \mathcal{L}_\rho. \tag{1.6.3}$$

1.7. For each $1 \le m \le n$, let $\mathcal{X}^0_m = \mathcal{X}_m \backslash \mathcal{X}_{m-1}$ as before. For each $(x,v) \in G^{\iota\theta} \times V$, one can associate a subspace $W(x,v)$ of V as in [SS, 4.3], and we have by [SS, (4.3.3)]

$$\mathcal{X}^0_m = \{(x,v) \in G^{\iota\theta} \times V \mid \dim W(x,v) = m\}.$$

Put $(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^+_m)' = (\pi')^{-1}(\mathcal{X}^0_m)$. For $(x,v,gB^\theta) \in (\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^+_m)'$, we define its level (I,J) ($I \subset [1,n_0], J \subset [n_0+1,n]$) as follows; assume that $(x,v) \in B^{\iota\theta} \times M_{n'}$ and that $x = su$, the Jordan decomposition of x with $s \in T^{\iota\theta}$. V is decomposed into eigenspaces of s as $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_t$. Then $v \in M_{n'}$ can be written as $v = v_1 + \cdots + v_t$ with $v_i \in M_{n'} \cap V_i$ since $M_{n'}$ is s-stable. Let u_i be the restriction of u on V_i. Thus $(u_i, v_i) \in (G_i)^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{uni}} \times V_i$ with $G_i = GL(V_i)$. Now $M_{n'} \cap V_i$ has a basis which is a subset of the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n\}$. By using this basis, we decompose $M_{n'} \cap V_i = E'_i \oplus E''_i$ with $E'_i = M_{n_0} \cap V_i$. Let $v_i = v'_i + v''_i$ with $v'_i \in E'_i, v''_i \in E''_i$. Let $m_i = \dim \mathbf{k}[u_i]v_i$ and $m'_i = \dim \mathbf{k}[u_i]v'_i$, where $\mathbf{k}[u_i]v_i = \langle v_i, u_iv_i, u_i^2v_i, \dots\rangle$, and similarly for $\mathbf{k}[u_i]v'_i$. We have $m'_i \le m_i$, and $\sum_i m_i = m$ since $(x,v) \in \mathcal{X}^0_m$. Put $m''_i = m_i - m'_i$. The basis of V_i is given by $\{e_j, f_j \mid j \in K_i\}$ for a subset K_i of $[1,n]$. Then the basis of E'_i is given by $\{e_j \mid j \in K'_i\}$ and the basis of E''_i is given by $\{e_j, f_j \mid j \in K''_i\}$ for subsets $K'_i = [1,n_0] \cap K_i, K''_i = [n_0+1,n] \cap K_i$. As in [SS, 4.3], we define a subset I_i of $[1,n_0]$ as the first m'_i letters in K'_i, and put $I = \coprod_i I_i$. Similarly, we define a subset J_i of $[n_0+1,n]$ as the first m''_i letters in K''_i, and put $J = \coprod_i J_i$. From the construction, we have $I \subset [1,n_0], J \subset [n_0+1,n]$ and $|I| + |J| = m$, and the attachment $(x,v) \mapsto (I,J)$ depends only on the B^θ-conjugate of (x,v). Thus we have a well-defined map $(x,v,gB^\theta) \mapsto (I,J)$. We define a subvariety $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{I,J}$ of $(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^+_m)'$ by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{I,J} = \{(x,v,gB^\theta) \in (\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^+_m)' \mid (x,v,gB^\theta) \mapsto (I,J)\}.$$

Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{I,J}$ is an open dense subset of $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{I,J}$ for each I,J. The following lemma can be proved in a similar way as [SS, Lemma 4.4].

Lemma 1.8.

$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+)' = \coprod_{\substack{I\subset[1,n_0], J\subset[n_0+1,n]\\ |I|+|J|=m}} \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{I,J}.$$

1.9 As in [SS, 4.5] we consider the spaces $W_0 = M_m, \overline{V}_0 = W_0^{\perp}/W_0$, and put $G_1 = GL(W_0), G_2 = GL(\overline{V}_0)$. Then $\overline{V}_0$ has a natural symplectic strucutre, and G_2 is identified with a θ-stable subgroup of G. Let B_1 be a Borel subgroup of G_1 which is the stabilizer of the flag $(M_k)_{0\le k\le m}$, and B_2 be a θ-stable Borel subgroup of G_2 which is the stablizer of the flag $(M_{m+1}/M_m \subset \cdots \subset M_m^{\perp}/M_m)$ in G_2. Put

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{G}_1 &= \{(x, gB_1) \in G_1 \times G_1/B_1 \mid g^{-1}xg \in B_1\},\\
\widetilde{G}_2^{\iota\theta} &= \{(x, gB_2^{\theta}) \in G_2^{\iota\theta} \times G_2^{\theta}/B_2^{\theta} \mid g^{-1}xg \in B_2^{\iota\theta}\},
\end{aligned}$$

and define maps $\pi^1 : \widetilde{G}_1 \to G_1, \pi^2 : \widetilde{G}_2^{\iota\theta} \to G_2^{\iota\theta}$ by first projections. For a fixed $m \le n$, we consider the varieties

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_m^0 &= \{(x, v, W) \mid (x, v) \in G^{\iota\theta} \times V, W = W(x, v), \dim W = m\} \simeq \mathcal{X}_m^0,\\
\mathcal{H}_m^0 &= \{(x, v, W, \phi_1, \phi_2) \mid (x, v, W) \in \mathcal{G}_m^0,\\
&\qquad \phi_1 : W \xrightarrow{\sim} W_0, \phi_2 : W^{\perp}/W \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{V}_0 \text{ (symplectic isom.) }\},
\end{aligned}$$

and morphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
&q_1 : \mathcal{H}_m^0 \to \mathcal{G}_m^0, \quad (x, v, W, \phi_1, \phi_2) \mapsto (x, v, W),\\
&\sigma_1 : \mathcal{H}_m^0 \to G_1 \times G_2^{\iota\theta}, (x, v, W, \phi_1, \phi_2) \mapsto (\phi_1(x|_W)\phi_1^{-1}, \phi_2(x|_{W^{\perp}/W})\phi_2^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Extending the discussion in [SS, 4.8], we define a subset $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{I,J}^{\spadesuit}$ of $(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+)'$ as follows; For $(x, v) \in G^{\iota\theta} \times M_{n'}$ with $x = su \in G^{\iota\theta}$ such that $s \in T^{\iota\theta}$, the subsets K_i, K_i', K_i'' of $[1, n]$ are defined as before. We define $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{I,J}^{\spadesuit}$ as the set of all H-conjugates of $(x, v, w_{\gamma}B^{\theta}) \in (\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+)'$ such that $|w_{\gamma}^{-1}(K_i) \cap I| = |K_i'|$ and $|w_{\gamma}^{-1}(K_i) \cap J| = |K_i''|$ for each i and for all the possible choice of (x, v) and $w_{\gamma} \in W_H$, where w_{γ} is the distinguished representative of the coset $\gamma \in \varGamma = W_{H,s}\backslash W_H$. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{I,J}^{\spadesuit}$ is a closed subset of $(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+)'$ containing $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{I,J}$. ($\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{I,J}$ coincides with the H-conjugates of $(x, v, w_{\gamma}B^{\theta})$ such that $w_{\gamma}^{-1}(K_i) \cap I$ consists of the first $|K_i'|$ letters and that $w_{\gamma}^{-1}(K_i) \cap J$ consists of the first $|K_i''|$ letters). We define a map $\varphi_{I,J} : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{I,J}^{\spadesuit} \to \mathcal{G}_m^0$ by $(x, v, gB^{\theta}) \mapsto (x, v, W(x, v))$. Define a variety

$$\mathcal{Z}_{I,J}^{\spadesuit} = \{(x, v, gB^{\theta}, \phi_1, \phi_2) \mid (x, v, gB^{\theta}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{I,J}^{\spadesuit}, \phi_1 : U \xrightarrow{\sim} W_0, \phi_2 : U^{\perp}/U \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{V}_0\},$$

where $U = W(x, v)$, and define maps

$$\begin{aligned}
&q_{I,J} : \mathcal{Z}_{I,J}^{\spadesuit} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{I,J}^{\spadesuit}, (x, v, gB^{\theta}, \phi_1, \phi_2) \mapsto (x, v, gB^{\theta}),\\
&\widetilde{\varphi}_{I,J} : \mathcal{Z}_{I,J}^{\spadesuit} \to \mathcal{H}_m^0, (x, v, gB^{\theta}, \phi_1, \phi_2) \mapsto (x, v, W(x, v), \phi_1, \phi_2).
\end{aligned}$$

We define a map $\sigma_{I,J} : \mathcal{Z}^{\spadesuit}_{I,J} \to \widetilde{G}_1 \times \widetilde{G}_2^{\iota\theta}, (x, v, gB^{\theta}, \phi_1, \phi_2) \mapsto ((x_1, g_1B_1), (x_2, g_2B_2^{\theta}))$ as follows; let $U = W(x, v)$, and put $x_1 = \phi_1(x|_U)\phi_1^{-1} \in G_1$, $x_2 = \phi_2(x|_{U^{\perp}/U})\phi_2^{-1} \in G_2^{\iota\theta}$. Let $(M_k)_{0\le k\le 2n}$ be the total flag in V as before, and let (U_i) be the total flag in U obtained from $(U \cap g(M_k))_{0\le k\le 2n}$. This defines an x_1-stable total flag in W_0 via ϕ_1, and we denote the corresponding element in G_1/B_1 by g_1B_1. Thus $(x_1, g_1B_1) \in \widetilde{G}_1$. Contrast to the case in [SS, 4.5], $g(M_n)$ is not necessarily contained in $U^{\perp}$, but one can check that $(g(M_n) \cap U^{\perp}) + U/U$ is a maximal isotropic subspace in $\overline{U} = U^{\perp}/U$. Hence we obtain an isotropic flag $(\overline{U}_j)$ on $\overline{U}$ from $((g(M_k) \cap U^{\perp}) + U/U)_{0\le k\le n}$. This defines an x_2-stable isotropic flag in $\overline{V}_0$ via ϕ_2, and $(x_2, g_2B_2^{\theta}) \in \widetilde{G}_2^{\iota\theta}$ is determined from this. Thus $\sigma_{I,J}$ is defined.

We have a commutative diagram

(1.9.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{G}_1 \times \widetilde{G}_2^{\iota\theta} \arrow[d, "\pi^1\times\pi^2"'] & \mathcal{Z}^{\spadesuit}_{I,J} \arrow[l, "\sigma_{I,J}"'] \arrow[r, "q_{I,J}"] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{\varphi}_{I,J}"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^{\spadesuit}_{I,J} \arrow[d, "\varphi_{I,J}"] \\
G_1 \times G_2^{\iota\theta} & \mathcal{H}^0_m \arrow[l, "\sigma_1"'] \arrow[r, "q_1"] & \mathcal{G}^0_m,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the right hand square is a cartesian square. We consider the fibre product $\mathcal{C}_m$ of $\widetilde{G}_1 \times \widetilde{G}_2^{\iota\theta}$ with $\mathcal{H}^0_m$ over $G_1 \times G_2^{\iota\theta}$, and let $c_{I,J} : \mathcal{Z}^{\spadesuit}_{I,J} \to \mathcal{C}_m$ be the natural map obtained from the diagram (1.9.1). Note that $\mathcal{C}_m$ is the same variety given in [SS, 4.8]. Let $\mathcal{C}_m = \coprod_{\alpha} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ be the α-partition of $\mathcal{C}_m$ defined in [loc. cit.]. We show by a similar argument as in the proof of [SS, (4.8.2)] that

(1.9.2) The restriction of $c_{I,J}$ on $c_{I,J}^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\alpha})$ is a locally trivial fibration over $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$.

In fact, under the notation in [SS, 4.8], for $z = (x, v, \phi_1, \phi_2, (\overline{U}_i)) \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ with x : unipotent, the fibre $c_{I,J}^{-1}(z)$ is given as

$$c_{I,J}^{-1}(z) \simeq \{(V_k) \in \mathcal{F}^{\theta}_x(V) \mid V_{n_0} \subset U^{\perp}, ((V_k \cap U^{\perp}) + U/U) \to (\overline{U}_j)\}.$$

(In this case, $I = [1, m_1']$ and $J = [n_0 + 1, n_0 + m_1'']$ with $m = m_1' + m_1''$.) Then as in [SS, 4.8], $c_{I,J}^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\text{uni}}) \to \mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\text{uni}}$ gives a locally trivial fibration, where $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\text{uni}}$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ to its unipotent part. On the other hand, under the general setting, take $(x, v, U, \phi_1, \phi_2) \in \mathcal{H}^0_m$ and $(x_1, g_1B_1) \in \widetilde{G}_1$, $(x_2, g_2B_2^{\theta}) \in \widetilde{G}_2^{\iota\theta}$ so that it gives an element $z \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$. Then $\gamma_1 \in \mathit{\Gamma}_1$ is determined from (x_1, g_1B_1) and $\gamma_2 \in \mathit{\Gamma}_2$ is determiend from $(x_2, g_2B_2^{\theta})$, where $\mathit{\Gamma}_1, \mathit{\Gamma}_2$ are given by the semisimple part s_1, s_2 of x_1, x_2. Now $\gamma \in \mathit{\Gamma}$ is determined from (γ_1, γ_2) as follows; we assume that the semisimple part s of x is contained in $T^{\iota\theta}$. The sets K_i', K_i'' are determined as before. Put $K = \coprod_i (K_i' \cup K_i'')$, and let K^c be the complement of K in $[1, n]$. Then w_{γ_1} (resp. w_{γ_2}) is regarded via ϕ_1 (resp. ϕ_2) as a permutation on K (resp. K^c). We define a permutation $w \in S_n$ by

$$w^{-1}(j) = \begin{cases} w_{\gamma_1}^{-1}(j) & \text{if } j \in K, \\ w_{\gamma_2}^{-1}(j) & \text{if } j \in K^c. \end{cases}$$

We take $\gamma = W_{H,s}w \in W_{H,s}\backslash W_H$. Then w_γ satisifes the condition that $|w_\gamma^{-1}(K_i) \cap I| = |K_i'|$ and $|w_\gamma^{-1}(K_i) \cap J| = |K_i''|$ for each i. We have a bijection between (γ_1, γ_2) arising from $\widetilde{G}_1 \times \widetilde{G}_2^{\iota\theta}$ and γ arising from $\mathcal{Z}^{\spadesuit}_{I,J}$. Then for such $z \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$, $c_{I,J}^{-1}(z)$ is contained in $\mathcal{F}_u^{\gamma}(V)$, and by using the argument in the unipotent case, we see that $c_{I,J}^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_\alpha) \to \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is a locally trivial fibration. Hence (1.9.2) is proved.

By using a similar argument as in the proof of Propostion 4.8 in [SS1], it follows from (1.6.3) that

Proposition 1.10. *$(\pi'_m)_*(\alpha')^*\mathcal{E}|_{(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+)'}[d_m]$ is a semisimple complex and is decomposed as*

$$(\pi'_m)_*(\alpha')^*\mathcal{E}|_{(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m^+)'}[d_m] \simeq \bigoplus_{|I|+|J|=m}\ \bigoplus_{\rho \in \mathcal{W}^{\wedge}_{I\sqcup J,\mathcal{E}}} H^{\bullet}(\mathbf{P}_1^{I'}) \otimes \rho \otimes \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_m^0, \mathcal{L}_\rho)[d_m].$$

We can now prove

Theorem 1.11. *Assume that $n' > n$ and let $K_{n',T,\mathcal{E}} = \pi'_*(\alpha')^*\mathcal{E}[d_{n'}]$. Then $K_{n',T,\mathcal{E}}$ is a semisimple complex belonging to $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})^{\le 0}$, and its simple summand (up to shift) is contained in the set $\bigcup_{0\le m\le n}\{\mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{L}_\rho) \mid \rho \in \mathcal{W}^{\wedge}_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}\}$.*

Proof. For each $0 \le m \le n$, let $\overline{\pi}'_m$ be the restriction of π' on $(\pi')^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_m)$. Since $\overline{\pi}'_m$ is proper, $(\overline{\pi}'_m)_*(\alpha')^*\mathcal{E}[d_m]$ is a semisimple complex. Since $(\overline{\pi}'_n)_*(\alpha')^*\mathcal{E}[d_n]$ coincides with $K_{n',T,\mathcal{E}}$ (note that $d'_n = d_n$ if $n' > n$), it is enough to show that $(\overline{\pi}'_m)_*(\alpha')^*\mathcal{E}[d_m] \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}_m)^{\le 0}$ and that its simple summand (up to shift) is contained in the set $\bigcup_{0\le m'\le m}\{\mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_{m'}, \mathcal{L}_\rho) \mid \rho \in \mathcal{W}^{\wedge}_{\mathbf{m}',\mathcal{E}}\}$. But this is proved by a similar discussion as in [SS, 4.9], thanks to Propostion 1.10. □

2. Intersection cohomology on $GL(V) \times V$

2.1. In this section, we assume that V is an n-dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{k}$, and $G = GL(V)$. We consider the variety $\mathcal{X} = G \times V$ on which G acts diagonally. Put $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}} = G_{\mathrm{uni}} \times V$. Then $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}$ is a closed G-stable subset of $\mathcal{X}$ isomorphic to the enhanced nilpotent cone $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{nil}} \times V$ studied extensively by Achar and Henderson [AH] and Travkin [T]. The following fact was proved independently by [AH] and [T].

Proposition 2.2 ([AH, Proposition 2.3], [T, Theorem 1])**.** *The set of G-orbits of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{nil}} \times V$ is in bijection with $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$, the set of double partitions of n.*

2.3. The explicit correspondence is given as follows; for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{nil}}$, put $E^x = \{g \in \mathrm{End}(V) \mid gx = xg\}$. Then E^x is an x-stable subspace of $\mathrm{End}(V)$. For $(x, v) \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{nil}} \times V$, $E^x v$ is an x-stable subspace of V. Assume that the Jordan type of x is ν, a partition of n. Let $\lambda^{(1)}$ be the Jordan type of $x|_{E^x v}$ and $\lambda^{(2)}$ the Jordan type of $x|_{V/E^x v}$. Then $\nu = \lambda^{(1)} + \lambda^{(2)}$, and we have $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}) \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$. The correspondence $(x, v) \mapsto \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ gives the above bijection. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ the G-orbit in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{nil}} \times V$ (or the G-orbit in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}$) corresponding to $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$. Note that the orbit containing $(x, 0)$ corresponds to $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\emptyset, \lambda^{(2)})$. In that case, $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ coincides with $\mathcal{O}_\nu$, the G-orbit containing x in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{nil}}$, with $\nu = \lambda^{(2)}$.

Proposition 2.4 ([AH, Theorem 3.9])**.** *For $\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{\mu} \le \boldsymbol{\lambda}$, where $\boldsymbol{\mu} \le \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is the partial order on $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ given in [SS, 1.7].*

Recall that $a(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = 2 \cdot n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) + |\lambda^{(2)}|$ (cf. [SS2, 5.1]).

Proposition 2.5 ([AH, Proposition 2.8])**.** *Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}) \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$, and put $\nu = \lambda^{(1)} + \lambda^{(2)}$. Let $(x, v) \in \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$. Then we have*

(i) *$Z_G(x, v)$ is a connected algebraic group of dimension $a(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$.*

(ii) *$\dim \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \dim \mathcal{O}_\nu + |\lambda^{(1)}| = n^2 - a(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, where $\mathcal{O}_\nu$ is the G-orbit in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{nil}}$ containing x.*

2.6. Let $B = TU$ be a Borel subgroup of G, with a maximal torus T and the unipotent radical U. Let $M_0 = \{0\} \subset M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \cdots \subset M_{n-1} \subset M_n = V$ be the total flag stabilized by B. We fix a basis $\{e_1, \dots e_n\}$ of V such that $M_m = \langle e_1, \dots, e_m\rangle$ for any m, and that e_i are weight vectors for T. Let $W = N_G(T)/T$ be the Weyl group of G. Then $W \simeq S_n$ is identified with the permutation group of the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. We define a subset $M_{[1,m]}$ of M_m as before, i.e.,

$$M_{[1,m]} = \{v = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j e_j \in M_m \mid a_j \neq 0 \text{ for any } j\}.$$

For any $0 \le m \le n$, we define varieties

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m &= \{(x, v, gB) \in G \times V \times G/B \mid g^{-1}xg \in B, g^{-1}v \in M_m\}, \\
\mathcal{X}_m &= \bigcup_{g \in G} g(B \times M_m), \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_m &= \{(x, v, gT) \in G \times V \times G/T \mid g^{-1}xg \in T_{\mathrm{reg}}, g^{-1}v \in M_{[1,m]}\}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_m &= \bigcup_{g \in G} g(T_{\mathrm{reg}} \times M_{[1,m]}),
\end{aligned}$$

where T_{reg} is the set of regular semisimple elements in T. In later discussions, we fix m, and define maps $\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m \to \mathcal{X}_m$ by $\pi(x, v, gB) = (x, v)$, $\psi : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_m \to \mathcal{Y}_m$ by $\psi(x, v, gT) = (x, v)$. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_m \simeq G \times^T (T_{\mathrm{reg}} \times M_{[1,m]})$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_m$ is smooth and irreducible. Let $W_{\mathbf{m}}$ be the stabilizer of $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ in W. Hence $W_{\mathbf{m}} \simeq S_m \times S_{n-m}$. Then the map $\psi : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_m \to \mathcal{Y}_m$ is a finite Galois covering with group $W_{\mathbf{m}}$, thus $\mathcal{Y}_m$ is also smooth irreducible. We have

$$\dim \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_m = \dim \mathcal{Y}_m = \dim G + m. \tag{2.6.1}$$

Note that $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m \simeq G \times^B (B \times M_m)$ is smooth and irreducible, and the map $\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m \to \mathcal{X}_m$ is proper, surjective. Hence $\mathcal{X}_m$ is a G-stable irreducible closed subvariety of $\mathcal{X}$. Since $\mathcal{X}_m \supset \mathcal{Y}_m$, and $\dim \mathcal{Y}_m = \dim \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m$, we see that

(2.6.2) The closure $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_m$ of $\mathcal{Y}_m$ coincides with $\mathcal{X}_m$.

Let $\mathcal{X}_{m,\text{uni}} = \mathcal{X}_m \cap \mathcal{X}_{\text{uni}}$, and put $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,\text{uni}} = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_{m,\text{uni}})$. Let $\pi_1 : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,\text{uni}} \to \mathcal{X}_{m,\text{uni}}$ be the restriction of π. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,\text{uni}} \simeq G \times^B (U \times M_m)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,\text{uni}}$ is smooth, irreducible with $\dim \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,\text{uni}} = \dim G_{\text{uni}} + m$. Moreover, π_1 is surjective. We note that

$$\mathcal{X}_{m,\text{uni}} = \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \quad \text{for } \boldsymbol{\lambda} = ((m),(n-m)). \tag{2.6.3}$$

In fact, by the explicit correspondence given in 2.3, we see that $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \subset \mathcal{X}_{m,\text{uni}}$. Since $\nu = (m) + (n-m) = (n)$, x is a regular unipotent element. Thus by Proposiiton 2.5 (ii), $\dim \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \dim G_{\text{uni}} + m$. This implies that $\dim \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,\text{uni}} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \geq \dim \mathcal{X}_{m,\text{uni}}$, and so $\dim \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \dim \mathcal{X}_{m,\text{uni}}$. Since $\mathcal{X}_{m,\text{uni}}$ is irreducible, the claim follows.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)}) \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}$ be such that $|\mu^{(1)}| = m, |\mu^{(2)}| = n-m$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \subset \mathcal{X}_{m,\text{uni}}$, and for $(x,v) \in \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \pi_1^{-1}(x,v) &= \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathcal{X}_{m,\text{uni}} - \dim \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\dim G_{\text{uni}} + m - \dim \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we put $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = ((m),(n-m))$, then $\boldsymbol{\mu} \leq \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Hence by Proposition 2.3 and by (2.6.3) we have $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \mathcal{X}_{m,\text{uni}}$. Here we have $\mu^{(1)} \leq (m), \mu^{(2)} \leq (n-m)$ with respect to the dominance order of partitions. Note that our map $\pi_1 : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,\text{uni}} \to \mathcal{X}_{m,\text{uni}}$ coincides with the map $\pi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} : \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \to \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ for $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = ((m),(n-m))$ given in [AH, 3.2]. Hence by Proposition 4.4 (1) in [AH] we have $\dim \pi_1^{-1}(x,v) = (\dim \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} - \dim \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}})/2$. The proposition follows. □

2.8. We consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} T & \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_m \arrow[l, "\alpha_0"'] \arrow[r, "\psi"] & \mathcal{Y}_m, \end{tikzcd}$$

where $\alpha_0(x,v,gT) = p(g^{-1}xg)$ ($p : B \to T$ is the projection). Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a tame local system on T. Let $W_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}$ be the stabilizer of $\mathcal{E}$ in $W_{\mathbf{m}}$. Since ψ is a finite Galois covering with Galois group $W_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}$, $\psi_*\alpha_0^*\mathcal{E}$ is a semisimple local system on $\mathcal{Y}_m$ such that $\operatorname{End}(\psi_*\alpha_0^*\mathcal{E}) \simeq \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[W_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}]$, and is decomposed as

$$\psi_*\alpha_0^*\mathcal{E} \simeq \bigoplus_{\rho \in W_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}^{\wedge}} \rho \otimes \mathcal{L}_\rho, \tag{2.8.1}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\rho = \operatorname{Hom}(\rho, \psi_*\alpha_0^*\mathcal{E})$ is a simple local system on $\mathcal{Y}_m$.

We consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} T & \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m \arrow[l, "\alpha"'] \arrow[r, "\pi"] & \mathcal{X}_m, \end{tikzcd}$$

where $\alpha(x,v,gB) = p(g^{-1}xg)$. We consider the complex $K_{m,T,\mathcal{E}} = \pi_*\alpha^*\mathcal{E}[\dim \mathcal{X}_m]$. In the case where $\mathcal{E} = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$, the structure of $K_{m,T,\mathcal{E}}$ was described by Finkelberg and

Ginzburg [FG, Corollary 5.4.2]. The general case is done in a similar way, namely we have the following result.

Theorem 2.9. *$K_{m,T,\mathcal{E}}$ is a semisimple perverse sheaf on $\mathcal{X}_m$, and is decomposed as*

$$\pi_*\alpha^*\mathcal{E}[\dim \mathcal{X}_m] \simeq \bigoplus_{\rho\in W^{\wedge}_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}} \rho\otimes \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{L}_\rho)[\dim \mathcal{X}_m].$$

Proof. $\mathcal{Y}_m$ is an open dense smooth subset of $\mathcal{X}_m$, and $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_m) \simeq \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_m$. Hence the restriciton of $\pi_*\alpha^*\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathcal{Y}_m$ coincides with the local system $\psi_*\alpha_0^*\mathcal{E}$. In Proposition 5.4.1 (i) in [FG], it was proved that the map $\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m \to \mathcal{X}_m$ is small, in the sense that there exists a stratification $\mathcal{X}_m = \coprod_{i\ge 0} X_i$ such that $X_0 = \mathcal{Y}_m$ and that $\dim \pi^{-1}(x,v) < (\text{codim } X_i)/2$ for $(x,v) \in X_i$ if $i > 0$. Then $\pi_*\alpha^*\mathcal{E}[\dim \mathcal{X}_m]$ is a semisimple perverse sheaf, and is isomorphic to the intersection cohomology $\mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_m, \psi_*\alpha_0^*\mathcal{E})[\dim \mathcal{X}_m]$. Thus the theorem follows from (2.8.1). □

2.10. We consider the case where $\mathcal{E} = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. Then $\pi_*\alpha^*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l = \pi_*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$, and $\pi_*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l|_{\mathcal{X}_{m,\mathrm{uni}}} \simeq (\pi_1)_*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. Moreover $W_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}} = W_{\mathbf{m}}$. Since π_1 is semismall by [FG, Corollary 5.4.2], and π_1 is G-equivariant, $(\pi_1)_*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[d'_m]$ ($d'_m = \dim \mathcal{X}_{m,\mathrm{uni}}$) is a G-equivariant semisimple perverse sheaf on $\mathcal{X}_{m,\mathrm{uni}}$. Since the number of G-orbits in $\mathcal{X}_{m,\mathrm{uni}}$ is finite, and the isotropy subgroup of each orbit is trivial, we can write as

$$(\pi_1)_*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[d'_m] \simeq \bigoplus_{\mathcal{O}\subset\mathcal{X}_{m,\mathrm{uni}}} \rho_{\mathcal{O}}\otimes A_{\mathcal{O}}, \tag{2.10.1}$$

where $A_{\mathcal{O}} = \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)[\dim \mathcal{O}]$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{O}} = \mathrm{Hom}(A_{\mathcal{O}}, (\pi_1)_*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[d'_m])$ is a $W_{\mathbf{m}}$-module. Note that $W_{\mathbf{m}} \simeq S_m \times S_{n-m}$, and $W^{\wedge}_{\mathbf{m}}$ is paprametrized by the set $\mathcal{P}_{n,2}(m) = \{(\lambda,\mu)\in \mathcal{P}_{n,2} \mid |\lambda| = m, |\mu| = n-m\}$. We denote by $V_{(\lambda,\mu)} = V_\lambda\otimes V_\mu$ the (standard) irreducible $W_{\mathbf{m}}$-module corresponding to $(\lambda,\mu)\in\mathcal{P}_{n,2}(m)$, We have the following result as stated in 3.9 (11) in [FGT].

Theorem 2.11 (Springer correspondence).

(i) $(\pi_1)_*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[d'_m]$ *is a semisimple perverse sheaf on $\mathcal{X}_{m,\mathrm{uni}}$ equipped with $W_{\mathbf{m}}$-action, and is decomposed as*

$$(\pi_1)_*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[d'_m] \simeq \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\in\mathcal{P}_{n,2}(m)} V_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\otimes \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)[\dim \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}]$$

(ii) *For each $\boldsymbol{\mu}\in\mathcal{P}_{n,2}(m)$, let $\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \mathcal{L}_\rho$ be the simple local system on $\mathcal{Y}_m$ corresponding to $\rho = V_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\in W^{\wedge}_{\mathbf{m}}$. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}})|_{\mathcal{X}_{m,\mathrm{uni}}} \simeq \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)[a],$$

where $a = \dim \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} - \dim \mathcal{X}_{m,\mathrm{uni}}$.

Proof. The following argument was inspired by [FGT, Theorem 1] and [AH, Proposition 4.6]. Put

$$\mathcal{G}_m = \{(x, v, W) \mid W \subset V, \dim W = m, v \in W, x(W) \subset W\}.$$

Then $\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m \to \mathcal{X}_m$ is factored as $\pi = \pi'' \circ \pi'$, where $\pi' : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m \to \mathcal{G}_m, (x, v, gB) \mapsto (x, v, g(M_m))$, $\pi'' : \mathcal{G}_m \to \mathcal{X}_m, (x, v, W) \mapsto (x, v)$. Put $\overline{V} = V/M_m$, and $G_1 = GL(M_m)$, $G_2 = GL(\overline{V})$. Let $B_1 = B \cap G_1$ be the Borel subgroup of G_1, and B_2 be the Borel subgroup of G_2 which is the stabilizer of the flag $M_{m+1}/M_m \subset \cdots \subset V/M_m = \overline{V}$ in G_2. Put $\widetilde{G}_i = \{(x, gB_i) \in G_i \times G_i/B_i \mid g^{-1}xg \in B_i\}$ and $p_i : \widetilde{G}_i \to G_i, (x, gB_i) \mapsto x$ for $i = 1, 2$. We consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{G}_1 \times \widetilde{G}_2 \arrow[d, "p_1 \times p_2"'] & \mathcal{Z}_m \arrow[l] \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m \arrow[d, "\pi'"] \\
G_1 \times G_2 & \mathcal{H}_m \arrow[l, "s"'] \arrow[r, "q"] & \mathcal{G}_m \arrow[d, "\pi''"] \\
 & & \mathcal{X}_m,
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.11.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_m = \{(x, v, W, &\phi_1, \phi_2) \mid (x, v, W) \in \mathcal{G}_m, \\ &\phi_1 : W \to M_m, \phi_2 : V/W \to \overline{V}\},\end{aligned}$$

and q is the projection on the first three factors, s is the map defined by

$$s : (x, v, W, \phi_1, \phi_2) \mapsto (\phi_1(x|_W)\phi_1^{-1}, \phi_2(x|_{V/W})\phi_2^{-1}).$$

$\mathcal{Z}_m$ is the fibre product of $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m$ and $\mathcal{H}_m$ over $\mathcal{G}_m$, which is isomorphic to the fibre product of $\widetilde{G}_1 \times \widetilde{G}_2$ and $\mathcal{H}_m$ over $G_1 \times G_2$. One can check that q is a principal bundle with group $G_1 \times G_2$, and that s is a locally trivial fibration with smooth connected fibre of dimension $n^2 + m$. Let $K_i = (p_i)_*\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[\dim G_i]$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then it is well-known that

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &\simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_m} V_\lambda \otimes \mathrm{IC}(G_1, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)[\dim G_1] \\ K_2 &\simeq \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n-m}} V_\mu \otimes \mathrm{IC}(G_2, \mathcal{L}_\mu)[\dim G_2], \end{aligned} \tag{2.11.2}$$

where V_λ is the irreducibel S_m-module, and $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ is a simple local system defined on $(G_1)_{\mathrm{reg}}$ corresponding to $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_m$, and similarly for G_2. We consider the complex $K_1 \boxtimes K_2$ on $G_1 \times G_2$. By the property of the maps s, q(see 0.2, 0.3), there exists a unique semisimple perverse sheaf A_m on $\mathcal{G}_m$ such that

$$q^*(A_m)[m^2 + (n-m)^2] \simeq s^*(K_1 \boxtimes K_2)[n^2 + m]. \tag{2.11.3}$$

Then by using the fact that π is small, the following formula can be proved by a standard argument.

$$\pi''_*(A_m) \simeq \pi_* \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[\dim \mathcal{X}_m]. \tag{2.11.4}$$

Now A_m can be decomposed by (2.11.2) as

$$A_m \simeq \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}(m)} V_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \otimes A_{\boldsymbol{\mu}},$$

where $A_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is a simple perverse sheaf on $\mathcal{G}_m$ defined as in (2.11.3), but by replacing K_i by $\mathrm{IC}(G_i, \mathcal{L}_{\mu^{(i)}})[\dim G_i]$. By Theorem 2.9, we have

$$\pi_* \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[\dim \mathcal{X}_m] \simeq \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}(m)} V_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \otimes \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}})[\dim \mathcal{X}_m].$$

By considering the restriction of the diagram (2.11.1) to the regular semisimple part (cf. [SS, Lemma 4.7]), (2.11.4) implies that

$$(\pi'')_* A_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \simeq \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}})[\dim \mathcal{X}_m] \tag{2.11.5}$$

for any $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}(m)$.

On the other hand, by considering the restriction of the diagram (2.11.1) to the unipotent part, one gets the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}(G_1)_{\mathrm{uni}} \times (G_2)_{\mathrm{uni}} & \mathcal{H}_{m,\mathrm{uni}} \arrow[l, "s_1"'] \arrow[r, "q_1"] & \mathcal{G}_{m,\mathrm{uni}} \arrow[r, "\pi''_1"] & \mathcal{X}_{m,\mathrm{uni}}.\end{tikzcd}$$

As in the above case, for $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)}) \in \mathcal{P}_{n,2}(m)$ one can define a simple perverse sheaf $B_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ on $\mathcal{G}_{m,\mathrm{uni}}$ by the condition that

$$q_1^* B_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}[m^2 + (n-m)^2] \simeq s_1^*\big(\mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\mu^{(1)}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)[c_{\mu^{(1)}}] \boxtimes \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\mu^{(2)}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)[c_{\mu^{(2)}}]\big)[n^2 + m],$$

where $c_\lambda = \dim \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ for a partition λ. Then it was proved by [AH, Proposition 4.6] that

$$(\pi''_1)_* B_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \simeq \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)[\dim \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}]. \tag{2.11.6}$$

By the Springer correspondence for GL_n, the restriction of $\mathrm{IC}(G_i, \mathcal{L}_{\mu^{(i)}})$ on $(G_i)_{\mathrm{uni}}$ coincides with $\mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\mu^{(i)}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$, up to shifit, for $i = 1, 2$. Thus by comparing (2.11.5) and (2.11.6), one sees that the restriction of $\mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$ on $\mathcal{X}_{m,\mathrm{uni}}$ coincides with $\mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$, up to shift. This proves (ii), up to shift. Then (i) follows. The degree shift a in (ii) can be easily computed from (i). □

Remark 2.12. By applying Theorem 4.5 in [AH] to the case $\pi_{\boldsymbol\lambda} : \widetilde{\mathcal F}_{\boldsymbol\lambda} \to \mathcal X_{m,\mathrm{uni}}$ with $\boldsymbol\lambda = ((m),(n-m))$, one gets

$$(\pi_1)_*\bar{\mathbf Q}_l[d'_m] \simeq \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol\mu\in\mathcal P_{n,2}(m)} V_{\boldsymbol\mu}\otimes \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal O}_{\boldsymbol\mu}, \bar{\mathbf Q}_l)[\dim \mathcal O_{\boldsymbol\mu}],$$

where $V_{\boldsymbol\mu}$ is regarded as a multiplicity space ignoring the $W_{\mathbf m}$-action. By comparing this with (2.10.1), we obtain

$$(\pi_1)_*\bar{\mathbf Q}_l[d'_m] \simeq \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol\mu\in\mathcal P_{n,2}(m)} \rho_{\mathcal O_{\boldsymbol\mu}}\otimes \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal O}_{\boldsymbol\mu}, \bar{\mathbf Q}_l)[\dim \mathcal O_{\boldsymbol\mu}],$$

where $\dim\rho_{\mathcal O_{\boldsymbol\mu}} = \dim V_{\boldsymbol\mu}$. However, in order to show that $\rho_{\mathcal O_{\boldsymbol\mu}} = V_{\boldsymbol\mu}$, one needs some additional arguments.

2.13. For $(x,v)\in\mathcal X_m$, put

$$\mathcal B_{(x,v)} = \{gB\in G/B \mid x^{-1}gx\in B, g^{-1}v\in M_m\}.$$

Then $\pi^{-1}(x,v) \simeq \mathcal B_{(x,v)}$. Since $\mathcal H^i_{(x,v)}(\pi_*\bar{\mathbf Q}_l) \simeq H^i(\mathcal B_{(x,v)},\bar{\mathbf Q}_l)$, $H^i(\mathcal B_{(x,v)},\bar{\mathbf Q}_l)$ is equipped with the $W_{\mathbf m}$-module structure, called the Springer representation of $W_{\mathbf m}$. By taking the stalk in the formula in Theorem 2.11 (i), we have, for $(x,v)\in\mathcal X_{m,\mathrm{uni}}$,

$$H^i(\mathcal B_{(x,v)},\bar{\mathbf Q}_l) \simeq \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol\mu\in\mathcal P_{n,2}(m)} V_{\boldsymbol\mu}\otimes\mathcal H^{i-\dim\mathcal X_{m,\mathrm{uni}}+\dim\mathcal O_{\boldsymbol\mu}}_{(x,v)}\mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal O}_{\boldsymbol\mu},\bar{\mathbf Q}_l).$$

By Proposition 2.7, $\dim\mathcal B_{(x,v)} = (\dim\mathcal X_{m,\mathrm{uni}} - \dim\mathcal O_{\boldsymbol\mu})/2$ for $(x,v)\in\mathcal O_{\boldsymbol\mu}$. Put $d_{\boldsymbol\mu} = \dim\mathcal B_{(x,v)}$. It follows from the above formula that

$$H^{2d_{\boldsymbol\mu}}(\mathcal B_{(x,v)},\bar{\mathbf Q}_l) \simeq V_{\boldsymbol\mu}\otimes\mathcal H^0_{(x,v)}\mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal O}_{\boldsymbol\mu},\bar{\mathbf Q}_l)\simeq V_{\boldsymbol\mu}$$

since $\mathcal H^0_{(x,v)}\mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal O}_{\boldsymbol\mu},\bar{\mathbf Q}_l)\simeq\bar{\mathbf Q}_l$. Thus we have an analogue of the original Springer correspondence

(2.13.1) The top cohomology $H^{2d_{\boldsymbol\mu}}(\mathcal B_{(x,v)},\bar{\mathbf Q}_l)$ gives rise to an irreducible $W_{\mathbf m}$-module $V_{\boldsymbol\mu}$, and the map $(x,v)\mapsto V_{\boldsymbol\mu}$ gives the bijective correpspondnece

$$\{\mathcal O_{\boldsymbol\mu}\mid\boldsymbol\mu\in\mathcal P_{n,2}(m)\}\leftrightarrow(S_m\times S_{n-m})^\wedge.$$

We consider the special case where $\boldsymbol\mu = ((1^m),(1^{n-m}))$. Take $(x,v)\in\mathcal O_{\boldsymbol\mu}$. We may assume that $(x,v)\in U\times M_m$. Then $(x-1)|_{M_m} = 0, (x-1)|_{\overline V} = 0$ and $(x-1)V = M_m$. It follows that $\mathcal B_{(x,v)}$ turns out to be a closed subvariety of G/B consisting of flags $\{(V_1\subset\cdots\subset V_{n-1}\subset V)\}$ such that $V_m = M_m$, which is isomorphic to $\mathcal B_1\times\mathcal B_2$, where $\mathcal B_i = G_i/B_i$ is the flag variety of G_i uner the notation in the proof of Theorem 2.11. Now $H^\bullet(\mathcal B_{(x,v)},\bar{\mathbf Q}_l)$ is a graded $W_{\mathbf m}$-module, and $H^\bullet(\mathcal B_i,\bar{\mathbf Q}_l)$ are graded S_m, S_{n-m}-modules for $i = 1,2$. Then we have an isomorphism of graded

$S_m \times S_{n-m}$-modules,

$$H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{B}_{(x,v)}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) \simeq H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{B}_1, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) \otimes H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{B}_2, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l). \tag{2.13.2}$$

3. Definition of character sheaves

3.1. Let $\widetilde{V}$ be a vector space over $\mathbf{k}$ of the form $\widetilde{V} = V_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^k (V_i \oplus V_i)$, where $\dim V_0 = 2n_0$ and $\dim V_i = n_i$ for $i \ge 1$. We consider a subgroup $G = G_0 \times \prod_{i=1}^k (G_i \times G_i)$ of $GL(\widetilde{V})$, where $G_i = GL(V_i)$ for $i \ge 0$. Let θ be an involutive automorphism on G, where θ preserves G_0 such that $G_0^{\theta} \simeq Sp_{2n_0}$ and that θ acts as a permutation on the factor $G_i \times G_i$ for each i. Let B be a θ-stable Borel subgroup of G containing a θ-stable maximal torus T. We denote by U the unipotent radical of B. Let $V = V_I$ be a subspace of $\widetilde{V}$ of the form $V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i$, where I is a subset of $[0, k]$. Then G acts naturally on V. Let $H = G^{\theta}$. We have $H \simeq Sp_{2n_0} \times \prod_{i=1}^k GL_{n_i}$. Put $G^{\iota\theta} = \{g \in G \mid \theta(g) = g^{-1}\}$, which is isomorphic to G/H. Let $\mathcal{X} = G^{\iota\theta} \times V \simeq G/H \times V$, on which H acts diagonally. We call $\mathcal{X}$ an exotic symmetric space. We have

$$\mathcal{X} \simeq (GL_{2n_0}/Sp_{2n_0} \times V_0^{\dagger}) \times \prod_{i=1}^k (GL_{n_i} \times V_i^{\dagger}), \tag{3.1.1}$$

where $V_i^{\dagger} = V_i$ or $\{0\}$ according to $i \in I$ or not. We say that $\mathcal{X}$ is the pure exotic type if $\mathcal{X} \simeq GL_{2n_0}/Sp_{2n_0} \times V_0$, and the enhanced type or the mirabolic type if $\mathcal{X} \simeq GL_{n_i} \times V_i$. Note that the pure exotic type $\mathcal{X}$ was studied by Kato [Ka1], [Ka2] in connection with the exotic nilpotent cone, and by [SS], [SS2], and the enhanced type $\mathcal{X}$ was studied by Achar and Henderson [AH], Finkelberg, Ginzburg and Travkin [FGT] in connection with the enhanced nilpotent cone and mirabolic character sheaves.

3.2. The notion of character shevaves was generalized to the case of symmetric spaces by Ginzburg [Gi]. Grojnowski [Gr] studied the character sheaves on $\mathcal{X} = GL_{2n}/Sp_{2n}$ in connection with the representation theory of the assoicaited Hecke algebra due to Bannai, Kawanaka and Song [BKS]. The character sheaves on the mirabolic type $\mathcal{X}$ was introduced by [FG], [FGT]. In [HT], Henderson and Trapa proposed to define (unipotent) character sheaves on the pure exotic type $\mathcal{X}$. In what follows, we shall define character sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$ based on those ideas. Note that our description benefitted much from Henderson's unpublished note [H2].

Put $\mathcal{B} = G/B, \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} = G/U$. Then T acts freely on $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ by $(t, gU) \mapsto gt^{-1}U$, and the natural map $q_0 : \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a principal T-bundle. We consider a diagram

$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \xleftarrow{r} (G \times V) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{q} (G \times V) \times \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{p} G \times V, \tag{3.2.1}$$

where p is the projection on the first two factors, $q = \mathrm{id} \times q_0$, and r is defined by $r(x, v, gU) = (xgU, v, gU)$. Then p is proper, q is a principal T-bundle and r is smooth with fibre isomorphic to U. Following [HT], we define an action of $H \times H$ on these varieties; for $(h_1, h_2) \in H \times H$,

on $G \times V$ by $(x, v) \mapsto (h_1 x h_2^{-1}, h_1 v)$,
on $(G \times V) \times \mathcal{B}$ by $(x, v, gB) \mapsto (h_1 x h_2^{-1}, h_1 v, h_2 gB)$,
on $(G \times V) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ by $(x, v, gU) \mapsto (h_1 x h_2^{-1}, h_1 v, h_2 gU)$,
on $(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ by $(g'U, v, gU) \mapsto (h_1 g'U, h_1 v, h_2 gU)$.

Then the maps p, q, r are $H \times H$-equivariant.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a tame local system on T. We define an action of T on $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V$ so that T acts trivially on V (and acts on $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ as before). Thus $T \times T$ acts on $(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$, and we consider the category $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}})$. We define a functor $\operatorname{Ch} : \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}) \to \mathcal{D}(G \times V)$ by $\operatorname{Ch}(K) = p_! q_\flat r^* K[\dim U - \dim T]$ for $K \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}})$. Since the diagram (3.2.1) is compatible with the action of $H \times H$, Ch lifts uniquely to a functor

$$\operatorname{Ch} : \mathcal{D}^{H \times H}_{\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}) \to \mathcal{D}^{H \times H}(G \times V). \tag{3.2.2}$$

Let $\varTheta : G \times V \to G^{\iota\theta} \times V = \mathcal{X}$ be a map defined by $(x, v) \mapsto (x\theta(x)^{-1}, v)$. Then $\varTheta$ is smooth with connected fibre, where the fibre is isomorphic to H. Thus $\widetilde{\varTheta} = \varTheta^*[\dim H]$ gives rise to a fully faithful functor $\mathcal{M}^H(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{M}^{H \times H}(G \times V)$. For a tame local system $\mathcal{L}$ on T, we define $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ as the set of isomorphism classes of H-equivariant simple perverse sheaves A such that $\widetilde{\varTheta} A$ is isomorphic to a perverse constituent of $\operatorname{Ch} K$ for some $K \in \mathcal{D}^{H \times H}_{\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}})$. We define a set $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ as a union $\bigcup_{\mathcal{L}} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{L}}$, where $\mathcal{L}$ runs over a tame local system on T. An H-equivariant simple perverse sheaf on $G^{\iota\theta} \times V$ is called a character sheaf if $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$.

3.3. Assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is a tame local system on T such that $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \simeq \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is T-equivariant with respect to the action of T on itself by $t_0 : t \mapsto t_0^m t$. Let $Z(G) = Z^0(G)$ be the center of G. We define an action of $Z(G)$ on $(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ by $z : (g_1U, v, g_2U) \mapsto (z^m g_1 U, v, g_2 U)$. We also define an action of $Z(G)$ on G by $z : g \mapsto z^m g$, and define actions on $(G \times V) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$, etc. so that $Z(G)$ acts trivially on factors different from G. Then all the maps in (3.2.1) are $Z(G)$-equivariant, which commute with the action of $H \times H$. Since $Z(G)$ is a subtorus of T, $\mathcal{L}$ is $Z(G)$-equivariant. Hence any object in $\mathcal{D}^{H \times H}_{\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}})$ is $Z(G) \times (H \times H)$-equivariant, and we have a refinement of (3.2.2),

$$\operatorname{Ch} : \mathcal{D}^{H \times H}_{\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}) \to \mathcal{D}^{Z(G) \times H \times H}(G \times V). \tag{3.3.1}$$

We define an action of $Z(G)^{\iota\theta} \times H$ on $\mathcal{X}$ by $(z, g) : (x, v) \mapsto (z^m g x g^{-1}, gv)$. (Note that $Z(G)^{\iota\theta}$ is a subtorus of $Z(G)$). Then $\varTheta$ is $Z(G)^{\iota\theta} \times H$-equivariant, and we have the following.

(3.3.2) Any character sheaf $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ is $Z(G)^{\iota\theta} \times H$-equivariant.

3.4. Assume that $G = T$ is a torus such that $T \simeq T_0 \times T_0$ and θ is the permutation of two factors. Thus T is a maximal torus of $GL(\widetilde{V})$, where $\widetilde{V} \simeq V \oplus V$

for a T_0-stable subspace V. We consider the variety $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_{T,V} = T^{\iota\theta} \times V$ with diagonal T^θ-action. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a tame local system on $T^{\iota\theta}$, and put $\mathcal{L} = \varTheta_T^*\mathcal{E}$, where $\varTheta_T : T \to T^{\iota\theta}, t \mapsto t\theta(t)^{-1}$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is a tame local system on T. For each T^θ-stable subspace V_1 of V, let $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V_1}$ be the constructible sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$ which is the extension by zero of the local system $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ on $\mathcal{X}_{T,V_1} = T^{\iota\theta} \times V_1$. By definition of character sheaves in 3.2, thanks to (0.4.1), one can check that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{L}} = \{\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V_1}[\dim \mathcal{X}_{T,V_1}] \mid \varTheta_T^*\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{L}, V_1 \subset V : T^\theta\text{-stable}\}. \tag{3.4.1}$$

If we replace $\mathcal{X}$ by $\mathcal{X}' = T^{\iota\theta} \times V'$, where V' is a T^θ-stable subspace of V, $\mathcal{X}'$ also fits to the definition of (3.1.1), and the set of character sheaves $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_{\mathcal{L}}$ is given by all those $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V_1}[\dim \mathcal{X}_{T,V_1}]$ such that $V_1 \subset V'$. The variety $\mathcal{X}$ in (3.1.1) for the case $G = T$ coincides with one of those $\mathcal{X}'$.

For $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_{T,V}$, we define a subset $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}^0$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{X}}^0 = \{A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \mid \operatorname{supp} A = T^{\iota\theta} \times \{0\}\}. \tag{3.4.2}$$

4. Induction

4.1. The induction functors and restrictions functors for character sheaves in the case of symmetric spaces are introduced by Grojnowsky [Gr, Section 2]. Henderson reformulated the induction functors in [H1], [H2]. In this section, we generalise the induction functors to our setting following the description in [H2].

Let $P = LU_P$ be a θ-stable parabolic subgroup of G containing B, where L is a θ-stable Levi subgroup of P containing T and U_P is the unipotent radical of P. Then L is a group of the same type as G given in 3.1. Let V_L be an L-stable subspace of V such that $\mathcal{X}_L = L^{\iota\theta} \times V_L$ gives rise to a similar variety as $\mathcal{X}$. We assume that there exists a projection $V \to V_L$ compatible with L-action.

4.2. Put

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^P = \{(x, v, gP^\theta) \in \mathcal{X} \times H/P^\theta \mid g^{-1}xg \in P^{\iota\theta}\}.$$

We consider a diagram

$$\mathcal{X}_L \xleftarrow{\tau} H \times P^{\iota\theta} \times V \xrightarrow{\sigma} \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^P \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathcal{X}, \tag{4.2.1}$$

where τ is the map $(g, p, v) \mapsto (\overline{p}, \overline{v})$ ($p \mapsto \overline{p}$ is the natural projection $P^{\iota\theta} \to L^{\iota\theta}$, and $v \mapsto \overline{v}$ is the projection $V \to V_L$), σ is the map $(g, p, v) \mapsto (gpg^{-1}, gv, gP^\theta)$, and ρ is the projection to the first and second factors. Note that $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^P$ is canonically isomorphic to $H \times^{P^\theta} (P^{\iota\theta} \times V) \simeq (H \times^{P^\theta} P^{\iota\theta}) \times V$, and σ gives rise to a principal P^θ-bundle. Now

$H \times L^\theta$ acts on $\mathcal{X}_L$ by $(h, \ell) : (x, v) \mapsto (\ell x \ell^{-1}, \ell v)$,
$H \times P^\theta$ acts on $H \times P^{\iota\theta} \times V$ by $(h, p) : (g, x, v) \mapsto (hgp^{-1}, pxp^{-1}, pv)$,

H acts on $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^P$ by $h : (x, v, gP^\theta) \mapsto (hxh^{-1}, hv, hgP^\theta)$.

Then τ is $H \times P^\theta$-equivariant (under the projection $P^\theta \to L^\theta$), and σ, ρ are H-equivariant.

We define a functior $\operatorname{Ind} = \operatorname{Ind}^{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{X}_L, P} : \mathcal{D}^{L^\theta}(\mathcal{X}_L) \to \mathcal{D}^H(\mathcal{X})$ by

$$\operatorname{Ind} K = \rho_! \sigma_\flat \tau^* K[a] \qquad (K \in \mathcal{D}^{L^\theta}(\mathcal{X}_L)), \tag{4.2.2}$$

with

$$a = a(\mathcal{X}_L) = \begin{cases} \dim U_P & \text{if } L \neq T, \\ \dim U + \dim V/V_T - n(V_T) & \text{if } L = T. \end{cases} \tag{4.2.3}$$

Here $n(V_T) = n_0 - \dim V_{T_0}$ if G contains a factor G_0 and $\mathcal{X}_{G_0} = G^{\iota\theta} \times V_0$ with $V_{T_0} = V_T \cap V_0$, and $n(V_T) = 0$ otherwise. Also note that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\text{fibre of } \tau) - \dim(\text{fibre of } \sigma) &= \dim H + \dim U_P^{\iota\theta} + \dim V/V_L - \dim P^\theta \\ &= \dim U_P + \dim V/V_L. \end{aligned}$$

We also define a functor $\operatorname{Ind}^\bullet K = \rho_! \sigma_\flat \tau^* K$ by removing the degree shift.

Let $P \subset Q$ be two θ-stable parabolic subgroups of G containing B, with θ-stable Levi subgroups $L \subset M$ containing T. Then $P_M = P \cap M$ is a θ-stable parabolic subgroup of M with Levi subgroup L. We consider the varieties $\mathcal{X}_L = L^{\iota\theta} \times V_L$, $\mathcal{X}_M = M^{\iota\theta} \times V_M$ as in the case of $\mathcal{X}$, where V_M is an M-stable subspace of V, and V_L is an L-stable subspace of V_M as in 4.1. Then one can define functors $\operatorname{Ind}^{\mathcal{X}_M}_{\mathcal{X}_L, P_M} : \mathcal{D}^{L^\theta}(\mathcal{X}_L) \to \mathcal{D}^{M^\theta}(\mathcal{X}_M)$, and $\operatorname{Ind}^{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{X}_M, Q} : \mathcal{D}^{M^\theta}(\mathcal{X}_M) \to \mathcal{D}^H(\mathcal{X})$. Here V_L is an L-stable subspace of V as in 4.1, and so $\operatorname{Ind}^{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{X}_L, P} : \mathcal{D}^{L^\theta}(\mathcal{X}_L) \to \mathcal{D}^H(\mathcal{X})$ can be defined. Then the following transitivity of induction holds. The proof is similar to the proof of the transitivity of induction for character sheaves [L3, Proposition 4.2], and we omit it.

Proposition 4.3. *Under the notation above, there is an isomorphsim of functors*

$$\operatorname{Ind}^{\bullet\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{X}_L, P} \simeq \operatorname{Ind}^{\bullet\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{X}_M, Q} \circ \operatorname{Ind}^{\bullet\mathcal{X}_M}_{\mathcal{X}_L, P_M}.$$

In particular, the above transitivity holds (resp. holds up to shift) for $\operatorname{Ind}^{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{X}_L, P}$ *if* $L \neq T$ *(resp.* $L = T$*.)*

4.4. We consider a diagram

(4.4.1)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L \times V_L \arrow[d, "\varTheta_L"'] & H \times P \times V \times H \arrow[l, "\tau'"'] \arrow[r, "\sigma'"] \arrow[d, "\varTheta_1"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^P \arrow[r, "\rho'"] \arrow[d, "\varTheta_2"] & G \times V \arrow[d, "\varTheta"] \\
\mathcal{X}_L & H \times P^{\iota\theta} \times V \arrow[l, "\tau"'] \arrow[r, "\sigma"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^P \arrow[r, "\rho"] & \mathcal{X},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $P^\theta \times P^\theta$ acts on $H \times P \times V \times H$ by

$$(p_1, p_2) : (g_1, x, v, g_2) \mapsto (g_1 p_1^{-1}, p_1 x p_2^{-1}, p_1 v, p_2 g_2),$$

and $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^P = H \times^{P^\theta} (P \times V) \times^{P^\theta} H$ is the quotient of $H \times P \times V \times H$ by $P^\theta \times P^\theta$. Here the maps are defined by

$\tau' : (g_1, x, v, g_2) \mapsto (\overline{x}, \overline{v})$, where $\overline{x}$ is the image of x under $P \to L$,
σ' : the quotient map by $P^\theta \times P^\theta$,
$\rho' : g_1 * (x, v) * g_2 \mapsto (g_1 x g_2, g_1 v)$,
$\varTheta_1 : (g_1, x, v, g_2) \mapsto (g_1, x\theta(x)^{-1}, v)$,
$\varTheta_2 : g_1 * (x, v) * g_2 \mapsto g_1 * (x\theta(x)^{-1}, v)$,

where we denote by $g * (x, v) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^P$ the image of $(g, x, v) \in H \times P^{\iota\theta} \times V$ by the quotient by P^θ, and we use a similar notation also for the quotient by $P^\theta \times P^\theta$. Now $H \times H$ acts on $H \times P \times V \times H$ by $(h_1, h_2) : (g_1, x, v, g_2) \mapsto (h_1 g_1, x, v, g_2 h_2^{-1})$, and this action commutes with the action of $P^\theta \times P^\theta$. The action of $H \times H$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^P$ is defined similalry. Then σ', ρ' are $H \times H$-equivariant, and τ' is $(H \times H) \times (P^\theta \times P^\theta)$-equivariant, where $L^\theta \times L^\theta$ acts on $L \times V_L$ as in the case of G, and $H \times H$ acts trivially on $L \times V_L$. Then $\varTheta_L, \varTheta_1, \varTheta_2, \varTheta$ are compatible with those actions on the upper row and the previous actions on the lower row.

Since σ' is a principal $(P^\theta \times P^\theta)$-bundle, one can define in a similar way as the functor Ind, a functor $\widetilde{\operatorname{Ind}} = \widetilde{\operatorname{Ind}}_{L \times V_L, P}^{G \times V} : \mathcal{D}^{L^\theta \times L^\theta}(L \times V_L) \to \mathcal{D}^{H \times H}(G \times V)$ by

$$\widetilde{\operatorname{Ind}} K = \rho'_! \sigma'_\flat (\tau')^* K[a'] \qquad (K \in \mathcal{D}^{L^\theta \times L^\theta}(L \times V_L)), \tag{4.4.2}$$

where

$$a' = \begin{cases} 2(\dim H - \dim P^\theta) + \dim U_P & \text{if } L \neq T, \\ 2(\dim H - \dim P^\theta) + \dim U_P + \dim V/V_T - n(V_T) & \text{if } L = T. \end{cases}$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim(\text{fibre of } \tau') - \dim(\text{fibre of } \sigma') \\ &\qquad = 2(\dim H - \dim P^\theta) + \dim U_P + \dim V/V_L. \end{aligned}$$

One can check that the rightmost square in the diagram (4.4.1) is a cartesian square. Since σ, σ' are both principal bundles, and $\varTheta_1, \varTheta_2$ are smooth with connected fibre, we have an isomorphism of functors

$$\widetilde{\operatorname{Ind}} \circ \widetilde{\varTheta}_L \simeq \widetilde{\varTheta} \circ \operatorname{Ind}. \tag{4.4.3}$$

4.5. Put $B_L = B \cap L, U_L = U \cap L$. Then B_L is a θ-stable Borel subgropu of L, and U_L is the unipotent radical of B_L such that $B_L = TU_L$. Put $\mathcal{B}_L = L/B_L$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L = L/U_L$. The action of L on $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L$ can be lift to the action of P by the projection $P \to P/U_P \simeq L$. Hence we have actions of P^θ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L$ and on $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L \times V$. We consider

a diagram
(4.5.1)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L \times V_L) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L & (H \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L \times V) \times (H \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L) \arrow[l, "\tau''"'] \arrow[r, "\sigma''"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_L \arrow[r, "\rho''"] & (\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_L = (H \times^{P^\theta} (\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L \times V)) \times (H \times^{P^\theta} \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L)$ with the action of $P^\theta \times P^\theta$,

$$(p_1.p_2) : ((g_1, \ell_1 U_L, v), (g_2, \ell_2 U_L)) \mapsto ((g_1 p_1^{-1}, p_1 \ell_1 U_L, p_1 v), (g_2 p_2^{-1}, p_2 \ell_2 U_L))$$

and σ'' is the quotient map by $P^\theta \times P^\theta$, τ'' is the projection on the factors except $H \times H$, ρ'' is defined by

$$(g_1 * (\ell_1 U_L, v), g_2 * \ell_2 U_L) \mapsto (g_1 \ell_1 U, g_1 v, g_2 \ell_2 U).$$

Then σ'' is a $(P^\theta \times P^\theta)$-principal bundle, τ'' is $(H \times H) \times (P^\theta \times P^\theta)$-equivariant, and σ'', ρ'' are $H \times H$-equivariant with respect to the ovbious actios of $L^\theta \times L^\theta$ and of $H \times H$. Moreover, all the maps in the diagram are $(T \times T)$- equivariant with respect to the actions induced from the action of T on $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Thus as in the previous cases, one can define a functor $I : \mathcal{D}^{L^\theta \times L^\theta}_{\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L \times V \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L) \to \mathcal{D}^{H \times H}_{\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}})$ by

$$I(K) = \rho''_! \sigma''_\flat (\tau'')^* K[a''], \qquad (K \in \mathcal{D}^{L^\theta \times L^\theta}_{\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L \times V_L \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L)), \tag{4.5.2}$$

where $a'' = a' - \dim U_P$. Note that in this case, $\dim(\text{fibre of } \tau'') - \dim(\text{fibre of } \sigma'') = 2(\dim H - \dim P^\theta) + \dim V/V_L$.

The functor I was introduced in [H2] in the case of symmetric spaces. The following result is an analogue of Propostion 3.2 in [H2].

Lemma 4.6. *Let* $\mathrm{Ch}_L : \mathcal{D}^{L^\theta \times L^\theta}_{\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L \times V_L \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L) \to \mathcal{D}^{L^\theta \times L^\theta}(L \times V_L)$ *be the functor defined similar to* Ch. *Then we have an isomorphsim of functors*

$$\mathrm{Ch} \circ I \simeq \widetilde{\mathrm{Ind}} \circ \mathrm{Ch}_L .$$

Proof. The degree shift for the functor Ch is given by $b = \dim U - \dim T$, and that for Ch_L is given by $b_L = \dim U_L - \dim T$. Since $a'' + b = a' + b_L$, we may ignore the degree shift for proving the formula. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L \times V_L) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L & (H \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L \times V) \times (H \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L) \arrow[l, "\tau''"'] \arrow[r, "\sigma''"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_L \arrow[r, "\rho''"] & (\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \\
(L \times V_L) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L \arrow[u, "r_L"] \arrow[d, "q_L"'] & (H \times P \times V) \times (H \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L) \arrow[l, "\tau_2"'] \arrow[r, "\sigma_2"] \arrow[u, "r_1"'] \arrow[d, "q_1"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_L^P \arrow[r, "\rho_2"] \arrow[u, "r_2"'] \arrow[d, "q_2"] & (G \times V) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \arrow[u, "r"'] \arrow[d, "q"] \\
(L \times V_L) \times \mathcal{B}_L \arrow[d, "p_L"'] & (H \times P \times V) \times (H \times \mathcal{B}_L) \arrow[l, "\tau_1"'] \arrow[r, "\sigma_1"] \arrow[d, "p_1"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_L^P \arrow[r, "\rho_1"] \arrow[d, "p_2"] & (G \times V) \times \mathcal{B} \arrow[d, "p"] \\
(L \times V_L) & (H \times P \times V) \times H \arrow[l, "\tau'"'] \arrow[r, "\sigma'"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^P \arrow[r, "\rho'"] & G \times V.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $P^\theta \times P^\theta$ acts on $(H \times P \times V) \times (H \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L)$ by

$$(p_1, p_2) : ((g_1, x, v), (g_2, \ell U_L)) \mapsto ((g_1p_1^{-1}, p_1xp_2^{-1}, p_1v), (p_2g_2, p_2\ell U_L)),$$

and $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_L^P = H \times^{P^\theta} (P \times V) \times^{P^\theta} (H \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L)$ is the quotient of $(H \times P \times V) \times (H \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L)$ by $P^\theta \times P^\theta$, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_L^P = H \times^{P^\theta} (P \times V) \times^{P^\theta} (H \times \mathcal{B}_L)$ is defined similarly. The first row is the diagram (4.5.1), the fourth row is the upper row of the diagram (4.4.1). The fourth column is the diagram (3.2.1), and the first column is the diagram (3.2.1) defined by replacing G by L. The map r_1 is defined by

$$r_1 : ((g_1, x, v), (g_2, \ell U_L)) \mapsto ((g_1, \overline{x}\ell U_L, v), (g_2^{-1}, \ell U_L)).$$

r_1 is $(P^\theta \times P^\theta)$-equivariant with respect to the above action on $(H \times P \times V) \times (H \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L)$ and the action on $(H \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L \times V) \times (H \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L)$ defined in 4.4. Hence it induces the map $r_2 : \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_L^P \to \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_L$. The maps σ_1, σ_2 are the quotient maps by $P^\theta \times P^\theta$. The map ρ_2 is defined by

$$\rho_2 : g_1 * (x, v) * (g_2, \ell U_L) \mapsto (g_1xg_2, g_1v, g_2^{-1}\ell U),$$

and ρ_1 is defined similarly. The maps q_1, q_2 are principal T-bundles, and the maps p_1, p_2 are obvious projections. One can check that the bottom left, bottom center, center right, and top right squares are cartesian squares. Then the proposition follows from the standard diagram chase. □

Proposition 4.7. *For any* $A \in (\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_L)_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\operatorname{Ind} A$ *is a semisimple complex such that each direct summand is contained in* $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ *up to shift.*

Proof. Take $A \in (\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_L)_{\mathcal{L}}$. By (4.4.3), $\widetilde{\Theta} \circ \operatorname{Ind} A \simeq \widetilde{\operatorname{Ind}} \circ \widetilde{\Theta}_L(A)$. By definition, $\widetilde{\Theta}_L(A)$ is a perverse constituent of $\operatorname{Ch}_L(K)$ for some $K \in \mathcal{D}^{L^\theta \times L^\theta}_{\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L \times V_L \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L)$. It follows by (0.4.1) that if A_1 is a perverse constituent of $\widetilde{\operatorname{Ind}} \circ \widetilde{\Theta}_L(A)$ then A_1 is a perverse constituent of $\widetilde{\operatorname{Ind}} \circ \operatorname{Ch}_L(K)$. By Lemma 4.6, we have $\widetilde{\operatorname{Ind}} \circ \operatorname{Ch}_L(K) \simeq \operatorname{Ch} \circ I(K)$. Hence A_1 is a perverse constituent of $\operatorname{Ch}(K_1)$ with $K_1 = I(K) \in \mathcal{D}^{H \times H}_{\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}})$.

On the other hand, since the map ρ in the diagram (4.2.1) is proper, $\operatorname{Ind} A$ is a semisimple complex by the decomposition theorem. Hence $\widetilde{\Theta} \circ \operatorname{Ind} A$ is a semisimple complex whose simple objects are of the form $A_1 = \widetilde{\Theta} A'$ for a simple perverse sheaf A' contained in $\operatorname{Ind} A$ up to shift. Then the above argument shows that $A' \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{L}}$. This proves the proposition. □

4.8. We consider the case where $L = T$. We follow the notation in 3.4. We denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{ps}}$ the set of character sheaves in $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ appearing in the perverse constituents of $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_T, B}^{\mathcal{X}} A$ for various $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{T, V'}$, and call them principal series character sheaves. Clearly, the determination of $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{ps}}$ is reduced to the case where $\mathcal{X}$ is the pure exotic type or the enhanced type. We shall describe $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{ps}}$ in each case.

First assume that $\mathcal{X} = G^{\iota\theta} \times V$ is the pure exotic type with $\dim V = 2n$. (We also consider the case where $\mathcal{X} = G^{\iota\theta}$, in which case we use the notation $\widehat{G}_{\mathcal{L}}, (\widehat{G}^{\iota\theta}_{\mathcal{L}})^{\mathrm{ps}}$, etc.) We consider $\mathcal{X}_T = \mathcal{X}_{T,V_T} = T^{\iota\theta} \times V_T$, where $\dim V_T \le n$ and V_T is a quotient of V by an T-stable subspace of V. Here we may assume that $V_T = V/M_{m'}$ for $m' \ge n$. Consider the diagram (4.2.1) for the case $P = B$,

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{X}_T & H \times B^{\iota\theta} \times V \arrow[l, "\tau"'] \arrow[r, "\sigma"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^B \arrow[r, "\rho"] & \mathcal{X} \end{tikzcd}$$

A character sheaf A on $\mathcal{X}_T$ is given by $A = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V_1}[\dim \mathcal{X}_{T,V_1}]$ for a T^θ-stable subspace V_1 of V_T by 3.4. By conjugation by an element in $N_H(T^{\iota\theta})$, we may assume that $V_1 = M_m/M_{m'}$ for some $n \le m' \le m \le 2n$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m$ be as in 1.1. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m$ is a closed subvariety of $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^B$. We see that the support of $\sigma_\flat\tau^*A$ is contained in $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m$, and $\sigma_\flat\tau^*A$ coincides with $(\alpha^{(m)})^*\mathcal{E}[\dim \mathcal{X}_{T,V_1}]$ under the notation in 1.1. In this case, $a = \dim U^\theta + \dim U^{\iota\theta} + n = \dim \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m - m$. Since $\dim \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m = \dim \mathcal{X} + (m-n)$, we have

$$\dim \mathcal{X}_{T,V_1} + a = \dim \mathcal{X} + (m - m').$$

Hence we see that $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_T,B}^{\mathcal{X}} A \simeq K_{m,T,\mathcal{E}}[m-m']$ under the notation in Theorem 1.11. Note that $m = m'$ if $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_T^0$. By Theorem 1.11, $K_{m,T,\mathcal{E}}$ is a semisimple complex contained in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})^{\le 0}$, and the decomposititon to simple components are given there. In particular, by comparing Theorem 1.11 with Theorem 4.2 in [SS], we see that any simple component appearing in $K_{m,T,\mathcal{E}}$, up to shift, is already contained in $K_{T,\mathcal{E}} = K_{n,T,\mathcal{E}}$. It follows that

(4.8.1) Assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is the pure exotic type. Then for any $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_T$, $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_T,B}^{\mathcal{X}} A$ is a semisimple complex in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})$. If $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_T^0$, then $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_T,B}^{\mathcal{X}} A \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})^{\le 0}$. Moreover $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathrm{ps}}$ coincides with the union of simple components of $K_{T,\mathcal{E}}$ for various $\mathcal{E}$ such that $\varTheta_T^*\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L}$, namely

$$\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathrm{ps}} = \{\mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{L}_\rho)[\dim \mathcal{X}_m] \mid \rho \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}^{\wedge}, 0 \le m \le n, \varTheta_T^*\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{L}\}$$

under the notation in Theorem 1.5. Moreover the set $(\widehat{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\iota\theta})^{\mathrm{ps}}$ coincides with the subset of $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathrm{ps}}$ consisting of those $\mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{L}_\rho)[\dim \mathcal{X}_m]$ such that $m = 0$.

Next assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is the enhanced type, namely, $\mathcal{X} = G \times V$ with $\dim V = n$. (We also consider the case where $\mathcal{X} = G$, in which case, we use the notation $\widehat{G}_{\mathcal{L}}, \widehat{G}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathrm{ps}}$, etc.) Let $\mathcal{X}_T = T \times V_T$ with $V_T = V/M_{m'}$. We consider the character sheaf $A = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V_1}[\dim \mathcal{X}_{T,V_1}]$ on $\mathcal{X}_T$. As in the previous case, we may assume that $V_1 = M_m/M_{m'}$ with $0 \le m' \le m \le n$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m$ be the variety defined in 2.6. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m$ is a closed subvariety of $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^B$. Since $\dim \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m = \mathcal{X}_m$ by 2.6, we have

$$\dim \mathcal{X}_{T,V_1} + a = \dim \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_m = \dim \mathcal{X}_m.$$

Let $K_{m,T,\mathcal{E}}$ be the complex on $\mathcal{X}_m$ defined in 2.8. By a similar argument as before, we see that $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_T}^{\mathcal{X}} A \simeq K_{m,T,\mathcal{E}}$. Now by Theorem 2.9, $K_{m,T,\mathcal{E}}$ is a semisimple perverse sheaf, and its simple components are completely described there. Thus we have

(4.8.2) Assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is the enhanced type. Then for any $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_T$, $\mathrm{Ind}^{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{X}_T,B} A$ is a semisimple perverse sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$. Under the notation of Theorem 2.9, we have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{ps}}_{\mathcal{L}} = \{\mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{L}_\rho)[\dim \mathcal{X}_m] \mid \rho \in W^{\wedge}_{\mathbf{m},\mathcal{E}}, 0 \le m \le n, \varTheta_T^*\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{L}\}.$$

Moreover, (as is well-known) the set $\widehat{G}^{\mathrm{ps}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ is given as the subset of $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{ps}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ consisting of those $\mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{L}_\rho)[\dim \mathcal{X}_m]$ with $m = 0$.

It follows from the above discussion, we have the following general result.

(4.8.3) Let $\mathcal{X}$ be as in 3.1. Then for any $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}^0_T$, we have $\mathrm{Ind}^{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{X}_T,B} A \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})^{\le 0}$.

Remark 4.9 In the pure exotic case, the set of character sheaves $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ was defined in [SS, 4.1], [SS2, 6.4]. In fact, the set $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ given there is nothing but $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{ps}}$ in the present notation. Later in Section 6, we prove that those two definitions coincide with each other, namely, we show that $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} = \widehat{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{ps}}$.

5. RESTRICTION

5.1. In this section we shall construct the restriction functors, and show the main properties based on the idea of [Gr, Section 2]. We follow the notation in Section 4. Let $j : P^{\iota\theta} \times V \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the inclusion map, and $\pi_P : P^{\iota\theta} \times V \to \mathcal{X}_L$ be the projection $(p, v) \mapsto (\overline{p}, \overline{v})$. Then j and π_P are L^θ-equivariant with respect to the obvious actions of L^θ. We define a restriction functor $\mathrm{Res} = \mathrm{Res}^{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{X}_L,P} : \mathcal{D}^H(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{D}^{L^\theta}(\mathcal{X}_L)$ by

$$\mathrm{Res}\, K = (\pi_P)_! j^* K(c) \qquad (K \in \mathcal{D}^H(\mathcal{X})), \tag{5.1.1}$$

where $c = \dim U_P^{\iota\theta} + \dim V/V_L$, and $K(c)$ is the Tate twist of K.

5.2. We consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L \times V_L \arrow[d, "\varTheta_L"'] & P \times V \arrow[l, "\pi'_P"'] \arrow[r, "j'"] \arrow[d, "\varTheta_P"] & G \times V \arrow[d, "\varTheta"] \\
\mathcal{X}_L & P^{\iota\theta} \times V \arrow[l, "\pi_P"'] \arrow[r, "j"] & \mathcal{X},
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.2.1}$$

where j' is the inclusion map, π'_P is the projection $(p, v) \mapsto (\overline{p}, \overline{v})$, and $\varTheta_P$ is the map $(p, v) \mapsto (p\theta(p)^{-1}, v)$. $H \times H$ acts on $G \times V$, and $L^\theta \times L^\theta$ acts on $L \times V_L$ as in 3.2. $L^\theta \times L^\theta$ also acts on $P \times V$ by the restriction from $G \times V$. Then j', π'_P are $L^\theta \times L^\theta$-equivariant. We define $\widetilde{\mathrm{Res}} = \widetilde{\mathrm{Res}^{G\times V}_{L\times V_L,P}} : \mathcal{D}^{H\times H}(G \times V) \to \mathcal{D}^{L^\theta \times L^\theta}(L \times V_L)$ by $\widetilde{\mathrm{Res}} K = (\pi'_P)_!(j')^* K(c)$. As in the case of induction functors, we have

Lemma 5.3. *There is an isomorphism of functors*

$$\widetilde{\varTheta}_L \circ \mathrm{Res} \simeq \widetilde{\mathrm{Res}} \circ \widetilde{\varTheta}.$$

Proof. Since the square in the left hand side of (5.2.1) is not a cartesian square, we need a special care. Let Z be the fibre product of $L \times V_L$ and $P^{\iota\theta} \times V$ over $\mathcal{X}_L$, and $\alpha : P \times V \to Z$ be the natural projection. We have $\beta \circ \alpha = \pi'_P$ and $\gamma \circ \alpha = \varTheta_P$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L \times V_L \arrow[d, "\varTheta_L"'] & Z \arrow[l, "\beta"'] \arrow[d, "\gamma"] & P \times V \arrow[l, "\alpha"'] \\
\mathcal{X}_L & P^{\iota\theta} \times V \arrow[l, "\pi_P"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since the projection $P^{\iota\theta} \to L^{\iota\theta}$ is a vector bundle with fibre $U_P^{\iota\theta}$, α turns out to be a vector bundle with fibre isomorphic to U_P^{θ}. Hence $\alpha_!\alpha^* \simeq \mathrm{id}[-2d]$ with $d = \dim U_P^{\theta}$, and so $\alpha_!\varTheta_P^* \simeq \alpha_!\alpha^*\gamma^* \simeq \gamma^*[-2d]$. It follows that

$$(\pi'_P)_!\varTheta_P^* \simeq \beta_!\alpha_!\varTheta_P^* \simeq \beta_!\gamma^*[-2d] \simeq \varTheta_L^*(\pi_P)_![-2d].$$

The lemma follows from this. $\square$

5.4. For $K \in \mathcal{D}^{H\times H}_{\mathcal{L}\boxtimes\mathcal{L}^{-1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}})$, we want to investigaite the perverse constituents of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Res}} \circ \mathrm{Ch}(K)$. Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} & (G \times V) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \arrow[l, "r"'] \arrow[r, "q"] & (G \times V) \times \mathcal{B} \arrow[r, "p"] & G \times V \\
 & (P \times V) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \arrow[u, "j_1"] \arrow[r, "q_0"] & (P \times V) \times \mathcal{B} \arrow[u, "j_0"'] \arrow[r, "p'"] & P \times V \arrow[u, "j'"'] \arrow[d, "\pi'_P"] \\
 & & & L \times V_L,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where j_0, j_1 are inclusions, and put $r_0 = r \circ j_1, p_0 = \pi'_P \circ p'$. Since both squares are cartesian squares, we see that

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Res}} \circ \mathrm{Ch}(K) \simeq (p_0)_!(q_0)_\flat r_0^* K(c), \qquad \text{(up to shift)}. \tag{5.4.1}$$

Let $W = N_G(T)/T$ be the Weyl group of G, and W_P the Weyl subgroup of W corresponidng to P. We consider the partition $\mathcal{B} = \coprod_{w \in W_P\backslash W} \mathcal{B}_w^P$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} = \coprod_{w \in W_P\backslash W} \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_w^P$ into P-orbts, where $\mathcal{B}_w^P = PwB/B, \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_w^P = PwU/U$. We consider a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} & (P \times V) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_w^P \arrow[l, "r_w"'] \arrow[r, "q_w"] & (P \times V) \times \mathcal{B}_w^P \arrow[r, "p_w"] & L \times V_L,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where r_w, q_w, p_w are the maps induced from r_0, q_0, p_0 by the inclusions $\mathcal{B}_w^P \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}, \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_w^P \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. By considering the perverse cohomology long exact sequence, we see that

(5.4.2) The perverse constituents of $(p_0)_!(q_0)_\flat r_0^*K$ are contained in the perverse constituents of $(p_w)_!(q_w)_\flat r_w^*K$ for some $w \in W_P\backslash W$.

We show the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5. *For* $K \in \mathcal{D}^{H\times H}_{\mathcal{L}\boxtimes\mathcal{L}^{-1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}\times V\times\widetilde{\mathcal{B}})$, *the perverse constituents of* $\widetilde{\mathrm{Res}}\circ\mathrm{Ch}(K)$ *are contained in the perverse constituents of* $\mathrm{Ch}_L(K_1)$ *for some* $K_1 \in \mathcal{D}^{L^\theta\times L^\theta}_{w^*\mathcal{L}\boxtimes w^*\mathcal{L}^{-1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L\times V_L\times\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L)$ *with* $w \in W_P\backslash W$.

Proof. Let $B'_L = wBw^{-1}\cap L$ be a Borel subgroup of L, and $U'_L = wUw^{-1}\cap L$ be its unipotent radical, for a fixed $w \in W_P\backslash W$. Put $\mathcal{B}'_L = L/B'_L$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}'_L = L/U'_L$. We consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & (P\times V)\times\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^P_w \arrow[d, "f_1"] & \\
Z_w \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\beta"'] & (\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^P_w\times V)\times\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^P_w \arrow[r, "i_w"] \arrow[d, "\delta_w"] & (\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}\times V)\times\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \\
(L\times V_L)\times\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}'_L \arrow[r, "r_L"] & (\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}'_L\times V_L)\times\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}'_L, &
\end{tikzcd}\tag{5.5.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1 &: (p_1, v, p_2wU) \mapsto (p_1p_2wU, v, p_2wU),\\
i_w &: \text{ the inclusion map},\\
\delta_w &: (p_1wU, v, p_2wU) \mapsto (\overline{p}_1U'_L, \overline{v}, \overline{p}_2U'_L),\\
Z_w &: \text{ the fibre product of } (L\times V_L)\times\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}'_L \text{ and } \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^P_w\times V\times\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^P_w\\
&\quad\ \text{over } (\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}'_L\times V_L)\times\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}'_L.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $f_2 : (P\times V)\times\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^P_W \to (L\times V_L)\times\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}'_L$ be the map $(p_1, v, p_2wU) \mapsto (\overline{p}_1, \overline{v}, \overline{p}_2U'_L)$. Then $\delta_w\circ f_1 = r_L\circ f_2$, and so we have a map $f : (P\times V)\times\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^P_w \to Z_w$ such that $f_1 = \alpha\circ f, f_2 = \beta\circ f$. We note that f is a vector bundle with fibre isomorphic to $U_P\cap wUw^{-1}$.

One can check that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L\times V_L \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"'] & (P\times V)\times\mathcal{B}^P_w \arrow[l, "p_w"'] \arrow[d, "\beta'"'] & (P\times V)\times\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^P_w \arrow[l, "q_w"'] \arrow[d, "f_2"] \\
L\times V_L & (L\times V_L)\times\mathcal{B}'_L \arrow[l, "p_L"'] & (L\times V_L)\times\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}'_L, \arrow[l, "q_L"']
\end{tikzcd}\tag{5.5.2}$$

where $\beta' : (p_1, v, p_2wB) \mapsto (\overline{p}_1, \overline{v}, \overline{p}_2B'_L)$. Moreover, the right square is a cartesian square. Note that the maps p_L, q_L and r_L are independent of the choice of B'_L, U'_L under the isomporphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}'_L \simeq \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L, \mathcal{B}'_L \simeq \mathcal{B}_L$.

Take $K \in \mathcal{D}^{H\times H}_{\mathcal{L}\boxtimes\mathcal{L}^{-1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}})$. Since $r_w = i_w \circ f_1$, we have $r_w^*K \simeq f^*\alpha^*i_w^*K$ by (5.5.1). By (5.5.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(p_w)_!(q_w)_\flat r_w^*K &\simeq (p_L)_!(q_L)_\flat(f_2)_!r_w^*K \\ &\simeq (p_L)_!(q_L)_\flat\beta_!f_!(f^*\alpha^*i_w^*K).\end{aligned}$$

Since f is a vector bundle of rank $d = \dim(U_P \cap wUw^{-1})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_!f_!f^*\alpha^*i_w^*K &\simeq \beta_!\alpha^*i_w^*K[-2d] \\ &\simeq r_L^*(\delta_w)_!i_w^*K[-2d].\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}(p_w)_!(q_w)_\flat r_w^*K &\simeq (p_L)_!(q_L)_\flat r_L^*((\delta_w)_!i_w^*K[-2d]) \\ &= \operatorname{Ch}_L((\delta_w)_!i_w^*K[-2d]).\end{aligned} \tag{5.5.3}$$

Note that $(\delta_w)_!i_w^*$ is a functor from $\mathcal{D}^{H\times H}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}})$ to $\mathcal{D}^{L^\theta\times L^\theta}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L \times V_L \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L)$ since i_w, δ_w are $L^\theta \times L^\theta$ equivariant. Moreover, $T \times T$ acts, for $(t_1, t_2) \in T \times T$,

on $(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ by $(g_1U, v, g_2U) \mapsto (g_1t_1^{-1}U, v, g_2t_2^{-1}U)$,
on $(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^P_w \times V) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^P_w$ by $(p_1wU, v, p_2wU) \mapsto (p_1w(t_1^{-1})wU, v, p_2w(t^{-1})wU)$,
on $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}'_L \times V_L \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}'_L$ by $(\ell_1U'_L, v, \ell_2U_L) \mapsto (\ell_1w(t_1^{-1})U'_L, v, \ell_2w(t_2^{-1})U'_L)$,

and i_w, δ_w are $T \times T$-equivqariant with respect to those actions. This shows that $(\delta_w)_!i_w^* : \mathcal{D}^{H\times H}_{\mathcal{L}\boxtimes\mathcal{L}^{-1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}) \to \mathcal{D}^{L^\theta\times L^\theta}_{w^*\mathcal{L}\boxtimes w^*\mathcal{L}^{-1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L \times V_L \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_L)$. Now the lemma follows from (5.4.1), (5.4.2) and (5.5.3). □

Proposition 5.6. *For any $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{L}}$, the perverse constituents of* $\operatorname{Res} A$ *are contained in $\bigcup_{w\in W_P\backslash W}(\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_L)_{w^*\mathcal{L}}$.*

Proof. Take $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and let A' be a perverse constituent of $\operatorname{Res} A$. Then by Lemma 5.3, $\widetilde{\Theta}_LA'$ is a perverse constituent of $\widetilde{\operatorname{Res}}(\widetilde{\Theta}A)$, hence a perverse constituent of $\widetilde{\operatorname{Res}}(\operatorname{Ch} K)$ for $K \in \mathcal{D}^{H\times H}_{\mathcal{L}\boxtimes\mathcal{L}^{-1}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \times V \times \widetilde{\mathcal{B}})$ by (0.4.1). Thus the proposition follows from Lemma 5.5. □

6. Classification of character sheaves

6.1. Following the idea in [L3, I, 3.10], we introduce the notion of cuspidal character sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$.

We consider the variety $\mathcal{X}_L = L^{\iota\theta} \times V_L$ associated to a θ-stable parabolic subgroup P and its θ-stable Levi subgroup L as before. In the pure exotic case, we consider the following special type of $\mathcal{X}_L$; $L^\theta \simeq Sp_{2n'} \times (GL_1)^{n-n'}$, and $\dim V_L = n + n'$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X})$ the set of such $\mathcal{X}_L$ for various n'. We define an integer $c_{\mathcal{X}_L}$ as follows;

$$c_{\mathcal{X}_L} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathcal{X} \text{ is the pure exotic type and } \mathcal{X}_L \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}) \ , \\ -\dim V + \dim V_L & \text{if } \mathcal{X} \text{ is the enhanced type .} \end{cases}$$

Assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is the pure exotic type. A character sheaf $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ is said to be cuspidal if for any $\mathcal{X}_L \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X})$ we have $\operatorname{Res} A[-c_{\mathcal{X}_L} - 1] \in \mathcal{D}\mathcal{X}_L^{\le 0}$, or equivalently, $\dim\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{H}^i(\operatorname{Res} A[-c_{\mathcal{X}_L}]) < -i$ for all i, where $\operatorname{Res} = \operatorname{Res}^{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{X}_L,P}$. In the enhaned case, cuspidal character sheaves are defined similarly, but in this case no restriction on $\mathcal{X}_L$. In the case where$\mathcal{X}$ is the symmetric space $G^{\iota\theta}$ or the group G, th cuspidal character sheaves are defined in a similar way as above, just by ignoring the vector space part. In general, $\mathcal{X}$ is a direct product of $\mathcal{X}_i$, where $\mathcal{X}_i$ is the pure eotic type (including the symmetric case) or the enhanced type (including the group case) as in (3.1.1). A character sheaf $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ is given by $A = A_0 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes A_k$ with $A_i \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_i$. A is called cuspidal if $A_i \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_i$ is cuspidal for each i.

6.2. Let $x = x_s x_u$ be the Jordan decomposition of $x \in G^{\iota\theta}$, where x_s is semisimple and x_u is unipotent. Then $x_s, x_u \in G^{\iota\theta}$, and $L_0 = Z_G(x_s)$ is a θ-stable Levi subgroup of a (not necessarily θ-stable) parabolic subgroup of G. Hence $x_s \in Z(L_0)^{\iota\theta}$ and $x_u \in (L_0)^{\iota\theta}_{\text{uni}}$. We define $Z(L_0)_{\text{reg}} = \{x \in Z(L_0) \mid Z_G(x) = L_0\}$. Let L be the smallest θ-stable Levi subgroup of a θ-stable parabolic subgroup P of G containing $L_0^{\iota\theta}$. We choose an L-stable subspace V_L of V as in 4.1 so that $V = V_L \oplus V_L'$, where V_L' is a P-stable subspace of V, and that V_L is maximal among the possible choices. (For example, in the pure exotic case, $L_0 \simeq \prod_i GL_{2n_i}$ so that $L_0^{\theta} = \prod_i Sp_{2n_i}$. Then $L = GL_{2n'} \times (GL_1)^{2n-2n'}$, where n' is the sum of n_i such that $n_i > 1$, since $GL_2^{\iota\theta}$ is a torus. We choose V_L, V_L' so that $\dim V_L = n + n'$, $\dim V_L' = n - n'$. In the enhanced case, $L = L_0$, and one can choose $V_L = V, V_L' = \{0\}$.) Let $\varSigma = Z(L_0)^{\iota\theta} \times \mathcal{O}$ for an L_0^{θ}-orbit $\mathcal{O}$ in $(L_0)^{\iota\theta}_{\text{uni}} \times V_L$. (Note that V_L can be regarded as V_{L_0}, and so $\mathcal{X}_{L_0} = (L_0)^{\iota\theta} \times V_{L_0}$ is a similar variety as $\mathcal{X}$.) For such a pair $(L, \varSigma)$, we define $\varSigma_{\text{reg}} = Z(L_0)^{\iota\theta}_{\text{reg}} \times \mathcal{O}$, and $Y_{(L,\varSigma)} = \bigcup_{g \in H} g(\varSigma_{\text{reg}})$. We claim that

(6.2.1) $\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_{(L,\varSigma)} Y_{(L,\varSigma)}$, where $(L, \varSigma)$ runs over all such pairs up to H-conjugacy, gives a partiton of $\mathcal{X}$ into finitely many locally closed smooth irreducible varieties stable by H.

In fact, since $Y_{(L,\varSigma)} \simeq H \times^{L_0^{\theta}} \varSigma_{\text{reg}}$, $Y_{(L,\varSigma)}$ is smooth, irreducible and locally closed. It is clear from the definition that $Y_{(L,\varSigma)}$ are mutually disjoint. So we have only to show that $\bigcup_{(L,\varSigma)} Y_{(L,\varSigma)} = \mathcal{X}$. For this it is enough to consider the pure exotic case, i.e, where $G = GL_{2n}$, $H = Sp_{2n}$ and $\mathcal{X} = G^{\iota\theta} \times V$. Take $(x, v) \in \mathcal{X}$. By (a variant of) [SS, Lemma 2.1], we may assume that $x_u \in U, v \in M_n'$, and $x_s \in T$, by replacing (x, v) by its H-conjugate, where M_n' is the maximal isotropic subspace of V stable by T such that $V = M_n \oplus M_n'$. Put $L_0 = Z_G(x_s)$. Then $(x_u, v) \in (L_0)^{\iota\theta}_{\text{uni}} \times V_L$ since $v \in M_n' \subset V_L$ by our choice of V_L. This shows that $(x, v) \in \varSigma_{\text{reg}} = Z(L_0)^{\iota\theta}_{\text{reg}} \times \mathcal{O}$ for an L_0^{θ}-orbit $\mathcal{O}$ in $(L_0)^{\iota\theta}_{\text{uni}} \times V_L$ containing (x_u, v), and the claim follows. The following proposition is an analogue of [L3, I, Proposition 3.12].

Proposition 6.3. *Let $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ be cuspidal.*

(i) *If $\mathcal{X}$ is the pure exotic type, then $A = \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{U}}, \mathcal{E})[\dim \mathcal{U}]$ with $\mathcal{U} \subset Y_{(G,\Sigma)}$. The same is true for the symmetric space case.*
(ii) *If $\mathcal{X}$ is the enhanced type, then $A = \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\Sigma}_0, \mathcal{E})[\dim \Sigma_0]$, where $\Sigma_0 = Z(G)^{\iota\theta} \times \mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E}_0 \boxtimes \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. The same is true for the group case.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a locally closed smooth irreducible subvariety of $\mathcal{X}$ such that $A \simeq \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{U}}, \mathcal{E})[\dim \mathcal{U}]$. Since A is $Z(G)^{\iota\theta} \times H$-equivariant by (3.3.2), we may assume that $\mathcal{U}$ is $Z(G)^{\iota\theta} \times H$-stable and $\mathcal{E}$ is $Z(G)^{\iota\theta} \times H$-equivariant. Since $\mathcal{U}$ is irreducible, by (6.2.1), there exists a unique piece $Y_{(L,\Sigma)}$ such that $\mathcal{U} \cap Y_{(L,\Sigma)}$ is open dense in $\mathcal{U}$. Since $Y_{(L,\Sigma)}$ is $Z(G)^{\iota\theta} \times H$-stable (note that $Z(L_0)^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ is stable by $Z(G)^{\iota\theta}$-action), we may assume that $\mathcal{U} \subset Y_{(L,\Sigma)}$.

Here we consider $\mathrm{Res} : \mathcal{D}^H(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{D}^{L^\theta}(\mathcal{X}_L)$. Let $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{reg}} = \bigcup_{\ell \in L^\theta} \ell(\Sigma_{\mathrm{reg}})$. Take $z = (x, v) \in \mathcal{U} \cap \widetilde{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{reg}} \subset \mathcal{X}_L$. Let $\pi_P : P^{\iota\theta} \times V \to \mathcal{X}_L$ be the map given in 5.1. Then $\pi_P^{-1}(z) = (xU_P \cap P^{\iota\theta}) \times (v + V_L')$. Now U_P^θ acts on the first factor $xU_P \cap P^{\iota\theta}$ by conjugation. We note that

(6.3.1) U_P^θ acts transitively on $xU_P \cap P^{\iota\theta}$.

Clearly we may check this in the case where $\mathcal{X}$ is the pure exotic type or the ehnhanced type. The enhanced case can be treated by an entirely similar argument as in [L3, I. Proposiiton 3.12]. So we assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is the pure exotic type. Put $U_x = xU_P \cap P^{\iota\theta}$. The orbit $U_P^\theta \cdot x$ of x is closed in U_x since it is an action of a unipotent group on an affine variety. We have $Z_{U_P^\theta}(x) \subset Z_G(x) \cap U_P^\theta \subset L_0' \cap U_P^\theta$, where L_0' is a certain conjugate of L_0 by an element in L^θ. By using the explicit description of P in 6.2, we see, under the notation of 6.2, that $\dim L_0' \cap U_P = n - n'$. Hence we have $\dim Z_{U_P^\theta}(x) \le n - n'$, and so $\dim U_P^\theta \cdot x \ge \dim U_P^\theta - (n - n')$. On the other hand, one can check that $U_x \simeq U_P^{\iota\theta}$. Since $\dim U_P^{\iota\theta} = \dim U_P^\theta - (n - n')$, we conclude that $U_x = U_P^\theta \cdot x$. Thus (6.3.1) holds.

Next we note that

(6.3.2) $\pi_P^{-1}(z) \cap \mathcal{U}$ is contained in an H-orbit of z. Moreover, we have

$$\dim(\pi_P^{-1}(z) \cap \mathcal{U}) = \begin{cases} \dim U_P^\theta - \dim V_L' & \text{(exotic case)}, \\ \dim U_P^\theta & \text{(enhanced case)}. \end{cases}$$

In fact, for the first claim it is enough to show by (6.3.1), that $(x, gv + V_L') \cap \mathcal{U} \subset H \cdot z$ for $g \in U_P^\theta$ since V_L' is U_P^θ-stable. Assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is the pure exotic type. We write $gv + V_L' = v' + V_L'$ with $v' \in V_L$. If $(x, v' + v'') \in \mathcal{U}$ with $v'' \in V_L'$, there exists $\ell \in Z_H(x) \subset L_0'$ such that $\ell v = v' + v''$. But since ℓ stabilizes V_L, V_L', we must have $v'' = 0$ and $\ell v = v'$. This means that $(x, gv + V_L') \cap \mathcal{U} \subset H \cdot z$ as asserted. By this computation, we see that $(gx, v + V_L') \cap \mathcal{U} = \{(gx, v')\}$, where $v' \in V_L$ is uniquely determined from g. It follows that $\dim(\pi_P^{-1}(z) \cap \mathcal{U}) = \dim U_P^\theta \cdot x$. Hence the second assertion follows from the computation in the proof of (6.3.1). (Note that in the pure exotic case, $n - n' = \dim V_L'$.) Hence (6.3.2) holds.

Since $\mathcal{E}$ is an H-equivariant local system, the rstriction of $\mathcal{E}$ on $H \cdot z$ is a non-zero constant sheaf (note that the isotropy group of z in H is trivial.) This implies that

$\mathbf{H}_c^{2\alpha-d}(\pi_P^{-1}(z)\cap\overline{\mathcal{U}}, A) \simeq H_c^{2\alpha}(\pi_P^{-1}(z)\cap\mathcal{U},\mathcal{E}) \neq 0$, where $\alpha = \dim(\pi_P^{-1}(z)\cap\mathcal{U})$ and $d = \dim\mathcal{U}$. It follows that $\mathcal{H}_z^{2\alpha-d}((\pi_P)_!j^*A)\neq 0$ and we have

$$\mathcal{U}\cap\widetilde{\varSigma}_{\mathrm{reg}} \subset \operatorname{supp}\,\mathcal{H}^{2\alpha-d}((\pi_P)_!j^*A).$$

The map $H\times(\mathcal{U}\cap\widetilde{\varSigma}_{\mathrm{reg}})\to\mathcal{U}$ defined by $(g,\xi)\mapsto g\xi g^{-1}$ is surjective, and its fibres are G_1-orbits under the action of $G_1 = \{g_1\in N_H(L^{\iota\theta}) \mid g_1\widetilde{\varSigma}_{\mathrm{reg}}g_1^{-1} = \widetilde{\varSigma}_{\mathrm{reg}}\}$ on $H\times(\mathcal{U}\cap\widetilde{\varSigma}_{\mathrm{reg}})$ defined by $g_1 : (g,\xi)\mapsto(gg_1^{-1}, g_1\xi g_1^{-1})$. Thus

$$\dim H + \dim(\mathcal{U}\cap\widetilde{\varSigma}_{\mathrm{reg}}) = d + \dim G_1.$$

One can check that $\dim G_1 \geq \dim L^\theta + 2\dim V_L'$. (In fact, if $\mathcal{X} = G^{\iota\theta}\times V$ is of pure exotic type with $\dim V = 2n$, and $L\subset P$ as above, then $Z_H(L^{\iota\theta})\supset SL_2\times\cdots\times SL_2$ ($(n-n')$-factors). Here G_1 contains L^θ and $Z_H(L^{\iota\theta})$. If $\mathcal{X}$ is of enhanced type, this also holds since $V_L' = 0$.) Hence we have

$$\dim(\mathcal{U}\cap\widetilde{\varSigma}_{\mathrm{reg}}) \geq d - 2\dim U_P^\theta + 2\dim V_L' = d - 2\alpha.$$

It follows that

$$\dim\operatorname{supp}\,\mathcal{H}^{2\alpha-d}((\pi_P)_!j^*A) \geq d - 2\alpha. \tag{6.3.3}$$

Now suppose that $L\neq G$. Since A is cuspidal , we have

$$\dim\operatorname{supp}\,\mathcal{H}^{2\alpha-d}((\pi_P)_!j^*A) < -(2\alpha-d).$$

This contradicts (6.3.3). Thus we must have $L = P = G$, and so $Y_{(L,\varSigma)} = Y_{(G,\varSigma)} = \widetilde{\varSigma}_{\mathrm{reg}}$. In the enhanced case, $Y_{(G,\varSigma)} = \varSigma_{\mathrm{reg}} = \varSigma = Z(G)^{\iota\theta}\times\mathcal{O}$ is a single $Z(G)^{\iota\theta}\times H$-orbit in $\mathcal{X}$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is an H-orbit in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}$. Hence $\mathcal{U} = \varSigma$. Since the isotropy group of the H-orbit in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}$ is trivial, the $Z(G)^{\iota\theta}\times H$-equivariant local system $\mathcal{E}$ can be written as $\mathcal{E}\simeq\mathcal{E}_0\boxtimes\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. The above discussion can be applied also to the symmetric case or to the (original) group case, by ignoring the vector space part. The proposition is proved. □

We prepare a lemma.

Lemma 6.4. (i) *Assume that $\mathcal{X} = G^{\iota\theta}\times V$ is the pure exotic type with $G = GL_{2n}$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an H-orbit in $\mathcal{X}$ containing (x,v) with $x = x_sx_u$. Assume that $x_s\in T^{\iota\theta}, v\in M_n$. Then under the notation in Section 1, we have*

$$\dim(\mathcal{O}\cap((x_sU)^{\iota\theta}\times M_n)) = \frac{1}{2}\dim\mathcal{O},$$

where $(x_sU)^{\iota\theta}$ means $x_sU\cap G^{\iota\theta}$. A similar formula also holds for the symmetric space case, by ignoring M_n part.

(ii) *Assume that $\mathcal{X} = G\times V$ is the enhanced type with $G = GL_n$. Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ be a G-orbit in $\mathcal{X}_{m,\mathrm{uni}}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\mu}\in\mathcal{P}_{n,2}(m)$. Under the notation in Section 2,* *we have*

$$\dim(\mathcal{O}\cap(U\times M_m))=\frac{1}{2}(\dim\mathcal{O}+m).$$

Proof. First we show (i). We consider a variety

$$X=\{((x',v'),gB^\theta)\in\mathcal{O}\times H/B^\theta\mid g^{-1}x'g\in(x_sU)^{\iota\theta},g^{-1}v'\in M_n\},$$

and let $\alpha : X\to\mathcal{O}$ be the projection to the first factor, $\beta : X\to H/B^\theta$ the projection to the second factor. We show that for each $z=(x',v')\in\mathcal{O}$,

$$\dim\alpha^{-1}(z)=\dim U^\theta-\dim\mathcal{O}/2. \tag{6.4.1}$$

In fact, $\alpha^{-1}(z)$ coincides with the variety

$$X_z=\{gB^\theta\in H/B^\theta\mid(g^{-1}x',g^{-1}v')\in\pi_B^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_T)\}.$$

where $\mathcal{O}_T$ is the T^θ-orbit in $T^{\iota\theta}\times M_n'$ containing $(x_s,0)$, and p_B is a projetion $B^{\iota\theta}\times V\to T^{\iota\theta}\times M_n'$. Then by Proposition 5.7 (ii) in [SS], $\dim X_z\le(\nu_H-c/2)-(\overline{\nu}-\overline{c})$ under the notation there. (In Proposition 5.7 (ii) in [SS], it is assumed that $\mathcal{O}\subset\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}},\mathcal{O}_L\subset(\mathcal{X}_L)_{\mathrm{uni}}$. However the proof there works for general $\mathcal{O},\mathcal{O}_L$.) Since $\overline{\nu}=\overline{c}=0$ in our case, we have

$$\dim X_z\le\dim U^\theta-\dim\mathcal{O}/2. \tag{6.4.2}$$

On the other hand, X_z contains a variety which is isomorphic to $(\pi_1^L)^{-1}(x_u,v)$, where π_1^L is a similar map as π_1 defined for $\mathcal{X}_L=L^{\iota\theta}\times V$ with $L=Z_G(x_s)$. In the proof of Theorem 5.4 in [SS], it was shown that $\dim\pi_1^{-1}(z_1)=\nu_H-\dim\mathcal{O}_1/2=(\dim Z_H(z_1)-\text{rank }H)/2$ for any H-orbit $\mathcal{O}_1$ in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{uni}}$ containing z_1. It follows that

$$\dim(\pi_1^L)^{-1}(x_u,v)=(\dim Z_H(z)-\text{rank }H)/2=\dim U^\theta-\dim\mathcal{O}/2.$$

Combining this with (6.4.2), we obtain (6.4.1).

Now (6.4.1) implies that

$$\dim X=\dim\mathcal{O}+(\dim U^\theta-\dim\mathcal{O}/2)=\dim H/B^\theta+\dim\mathcal{O}/2.$$

On the other hand, for each $gB^\theta\in H/B^\theta$, the fibre $\beta^{-1}(gB^\theta)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}\cap((x_sU)^{\iota\theta}\times M_n)$. Hence

$$\dim X=\dim H/B^\theta+\dim(\mathcal{O}\cap(x_sU^{\iota\theta}\times M_n)).$$

The claim follows by comaparing those two formulas.

Next we show (ii). In this case, we consider a variety

$$X=\{((x,v),gB)\in\mathcal{O}\times G/B\mid g^{-1}xg\in B,g^{-1}v\in M_m\}$$

and $\alpha : X \to \mathcal{O}$, $\beta : X \to G/B$ are projections as before. In this case, for each $z \in \mathcal{O}$, $\alpha^{-1}(z)$ is isomorphic to the Springer fibre $\pi_1^{-1}(z)$ for $\pi_1 : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{m,\mathrm{uni}} \to \mathcal{X}_{m,\mathrm{uni}}$ in 2.6. By Proposition 2.7, we have $\dim \pi_1^{-1}(z) = \nu_G + (m - \dim \mathcal{O})/2$ with $\nu_G = \dim G/B$. Then the claim follows by a similar argument as in (i). □

Proposition 6.5. *Assume that G is not a torus. Then $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ does not contain a cuspidal character sheaf.*

Proof. Assume that G is not a torus. It is enough to prove the proposition in the case where $\mathcal{X}$ is the pure exotic case (including the symmetric space case) or the enhanced case (including the group case). First assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is the pure exotic type $G^{\iota\theta} \times V$ with $G = GL_{2n}$ $(n \ge 1)$. Let $A = \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{E})[\dim \mathcal{U}]$ be a cuspidal character sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$, where we may assume that $\mathcal{U} \subset Y_{(G,\varSigma)}$ by Proposition 6.3. Hence in our case $\widetilde{\varSigma}_{\mathrm{reg}} = Y_{(G,\varSigma)}$ and so $\widetilde{\varSigma}_{\mathrm{reg}} \cap \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}$. Take $(x, v) \in \mathcal{U}$, and let $x = x_s x_u$ be the Jordan decomposition of x. Let $L_0 = Z_G(x_s)$ and $\mathcal{O}_0$ be the L_0^{θ}-orbit of (x_u, v) so that $\varSigma_{\mathrm{reg}} = Z(L_0)^{\iota\theta}_{\mathrm{reg}} \times \mathcal{O}_0$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the H-orbit of (x, v). Put $\delta = \dim \mathcal{O}$ and $d = \dim \mathcal{U}$. We consider the restriciton $\mathrm{Res}\, A$ with respect to B, T and $V_T = M'_n$, where $V = M_n \oplus M'_n$. Thus $\mathcal{X}_T = T^{\iota\theta} \times M'_n$. For $z_1 = (x_s, 0) \in \mathcal{X}_T$, we have $\pi_P^{-1}(z_1) = (x_s U)^{\iota\theta} \times M_n$. Then by Lemma 6.4 (i), we have $H_c^{\delta}(\pi_P^{-1}(z_1) \cap \mathcal{O}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) \ne 0$. Since $\pi_P^{-1}(z_1) \cap \mathcal{U} = \pi_P^{-1}(z_1) \cap \mathcal{O}$, and the restriction of $\mathcal{E}$ on $\pi_P^{-1}(z_1) \cap \mathcal{O}$ is a non-zero constant sheaf, we have $H_c^{\delta}(\pi_P^{-1}(z_1) \cap \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{E}) \ne 0$, and so $\mathbf{H}_c^{\delta-d}(\pi_P^{-1}(z) \cap \overline{\mathcal{U}}, A) \ne 0$. It follows that $\mathcal{H}_z^{\delta-d}((\pi_P)_! j^* A) \ne 0$. This implies that

$$\mathcal{U} \subset \mathrm{supp}\ \mathcal{H}^{\delta-d}(\mathrm{Res}\, A),$$

and we have $\dim \mathrm{supp}\ \mathcal{H}^{\delta-d}(\mathrm{Res}\, A) \ge d$. On the other hand, since A is cuspidal, we must have

$$\dim \mathrm{supp}\ \mathcal{H}^{\delta-d}(\mathrm{Res}\, A) < d - \delta.$$

This is a contradiction.

Next assume that $\mathcal{X} = G \times V$ is the enhanced type with $G = GL_n$ $(n \ge 2)$. Let $A = \mathrm{IC}(\varSigma, \mathcal{E})[\dim \varSigma]$ be a cuspidal character sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$, where $\varSigma = Z(G) \times \mathcal{O}$ with $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{X}_{m,\mathrm{uni}}$. Put $\delta = \dim \mathcal{O}, d = \dim \varSigma$. We consider the restriction $\mathrm{Res}\, A$ with respect to $P = B, L = T$, and $V_T = M'_m$ where $V = M_m \oplus M'_m$. Hence $\mathcal{X}_T = T \times M'_m$, and for $z_1 = (e, 0) \in \mathcal{X}_T$, we have $\pi_P^{-1}(z_1) = U \times M_m$. Thus by Lemma 6.4 (ii), we have $H_c^{\delta+m}(\pi_P^{-1}(z_1) \cap \mathcal{O}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) \ne 0$. As in the previous case, this implies that $Z(G) \times \{z_1\} \subset \mathrm{supp}\ \mathcal{H}^{\delta+m-d}(\mathrm{Res}\, A)$, and we have

$$d - \delta \le \dim \mathrm{supp}\ \mathcal{H}^{\delta+m-d}(\mathrm{Res}\, A).$$

On the other hand, since A is cuspidal, we have

$$\dim \mathrm{supp}\ \mathcal{H}^{\delta+m-d}(\mathrm{Res}\, A) < (d - \delta - m) - c_{\mathcal{X}_T} = d - \delta.$$

(Here $c_{\mathcal{X}_T} = -m$). Again this is a contradiction. The symmetric space case or the group case is dealt similarly. Thus the proposition is proved.

□

6.6. Recall that a is an integer given in (4.2.3). For a given $\mathcal{X}$, we consider $\mathcal{X}_L = L^{\iota\theta} \times V_L$ as before. We define an integer $e_{\mathcal{X}_L}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\mathcal{X}_L} &= a - 2(\dim U_P^{\theta} + \dim V/V_L) \\ &= \begin{cases} \dim U_P^{\theta} - \dim U_P^{\iota\theta} - 2\dim V/V_L & \text{if } L \neq T, \\ \dim U^{\theta} - \dim U^{\iota\theta} - \dim V/V_T - n(V_T) & \text{if } L = T. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The following result is a variant of [L3, I, Theorem 4.4].

Theorem 6.7. *Let $L \subset P$, and $\mathcal{X}_L$ be as in 4.1. Assume that $L \neq T$.*

- (i) *For any $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$, there exists a character sheaf $A_0 \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_T^0$ such that A is a direct summand of $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_T,B}^{\mathcal{X}} A_0$, up to shift.*
- (ii) *If $A_1 \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_L$, then $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_L,P}^{\mathcal{X}} A_1 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})^{\leq 0}$.*
- (iii) *If $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$, then $\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{X}_L,P}^{\mathcal{X}} A[-e_{\mathcal{X}_L}] \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}_L)^{\leq 0}$.*
- (iv) *If $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ and if $K \in \mathcal{M}^{L^{\theta}}(\mathcal{X}_L)$ is such that any constituent of K is contained in $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_L$, then*

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}_L)}(\operatorname{Res} A[-e_{\mathcal{X}_L}], K) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})}(A, \operatorname{Ind} K).$$

If we restrict to the pure exotic case with $\mathcal{X}_L \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X})$ or to the enhanced case, we have the following more precise results. Note that $c_{\mathcal{X}_L} = 0$ if $\mathcal{X}$ is of exotic type, and $c_{\mathcal{X}_L} = e_{\mathcal{X}_L}$ if $\mathcal{X}$ is of enhanced type.

Proposition 6.8. *Assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is of pure exotic type or the enhanced type. We define an induction functor by $\operatorname{Ind}^{\circ} = \operatorname{Ind}^{\bullet}[c_0]$ where $c_0 = \dim U_P + \dim V/V_L$. In the pure exotic case, we only consider $\mathcal{X}_L \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X})$. Then we have the following.*

- (i) *For any $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$, there exists $A_0 \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_T^0$ such that A is a direct summand of ${\operatorname{Ind}^{\circ}}_{\mathcal{X}_T,B}^{\mathcal{X}} A_0$.*
- (ii) *Assume that $A_1 \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_L$ (resp. $A_1 \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_T^0$) for $L \neq T$ (resp. $L = T$). Then ${\operatorname{Ind}^{\circ}}_{\mathcal{X}_L,P}^{\mathcal{X}} A \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$.*
- (iii) *If $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$, then $\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{X}_L,P}^{\mathcal{X}} A[-c_{\mathcal{X}_L}] \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}_L)^{\leq 0}$.*
- (iv) *If $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ and if $K \in \mathcal{M}^{L^{\theta}}(\mathcal{X}_L)$ is such that any constituent of K is contained in $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_L$ (resp. in $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_T^0$) if $L \neq T$ (resp. if $L = T$), then*

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}_L)}(\operatorname{Res} A[-c_{\mathcal{X}_L}], K) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})}(A, \operatorname{Ind}^{\circ} K).$$

As a corollary, we obtain the classification of character sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$, namely we have

Corollary 6.9. *The set $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathrm{ps}}$ coincides with $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ for each tame local system $\mathcal{L}$ on T. In particular, if $\mathcal{X}$ is the pure exotic type or the enhanced type, the set of character sheaves $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ is given by (4.8.1) or (4.8.2), respectively.*

6.10. We prove Theorem 6.7 and Proposition 6.8 simultaneously. The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of these results. We prove them following the strategy of the proof of Theorem 4.4 in [L3]. However, since $\operatorname{Res} A$ is not known to be a semisimple complex, and $\operatorname{Ind} A_1$ is not a perverse sheaf in general, we need to modify the argument in [L3] in some parts. When G is a maximal torus, the theorem is obvious. So we assume that G is not a torus, and that the theorem and the proposition are already proved for $\mathcal{X}_L$ for the choice of $L \subset P \neq G$ according to the theorem or the proposition.. We shall prove them for $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_G$ by using the inductive argument.

First we show that

(6.10.1) Theorem 6.7 (ii) holds for $\mathcal{X}$.

We assume that $P \neq G$. Clearly, we may assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is the exotic type or the enhanced type. First assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is the exotic type, namely $\mathcal{X} = G^{\iota\theta} \times V$ with $\dim V = 2n$. By applying 6.6 (i) to L, one can find $A_0 \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_T^0$ such that A_1 is a direct summand of $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_T}^{\mathcal{X}_L} A_0$ up to shift. Here $\mathcal{X}_T = T^{\iota\theta} \times V_T$ with V_T a quotient of V_L. Now $\mathcal{X}_L$ is isomorphic to $\prod_i \mathcal{X}_i$, where $\mathcal{X}_i$ is the exotic type or the enhanced type. Here asssume that all the factors $\mathcal{X}_i$ are enhanced types. Then by 4.8, $K_1 = \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_T}^{\mathcal{X}_L} A_0$ is a semisimple perverse sheaf on $\mathcal{X}_L$. On the other hand, again by 4.8, $K = \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_T}^{\mathcal{X}} A_0$ is a semisimple complex contained in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})^{\le 0}$. By Proposition 4.3, together with the degree shift, we have

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_T}^{\mathcal{X}} A_0[n - \dim V/V_L] \simeq \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_L}^{\mathcal{X}} (\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_T}^{\mathcal{X}_L} A_0).$$

It follows that $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_L}^{\mathcal{X}} K_1 \simeq K[\beta]$ with $\beta = n - \dim V + \dim V_L \le 0$ (since $\dim V_L \le n$ and $\dim V = 2n$). Now A_1 is a direct summand of K_1. Since the induction preserves the direct sum, $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_L}^{\mathcal{X}} A_1$ is a direct summand of $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_L}^{\mathcal{X}} K_1 \simeq K[\beta]$. Thus $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_L}^{\mathcal{X}} A_1$ is a semisimple complex contained in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})^{\le 0}$. Next assume that $\mathcal{X}_L$ contains a factor $\mathcal{X}_0$ of the exotic type. We write $\mathcal{X}_L = G_0^{\iota\theta} \times V_0$. Let $B_1 \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_0$ be the factor of A_1 corresponding to $\mathcal{X}_0$. Let T_0 be the θ-stable maximal torus of G_0 appearing in the decomposition of T. Then there exists $B_0 \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{T_0}^0$ such that $B_1 \in \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_{T_0}}^{\mathcal{X}_0} B_0$. By (4.8.1), we may choose that $\dim V_{T_0} = n_0$ ($\dim V_0 = 2n_0$). Hence $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_{T_0}}^{\mathcal{X}_0} B_0$ is a semisimple perverse sheaf on $\mathcal{X}_0$ (namely, the factor $H^\bullet(\mathbf{P}_1^m)$ does not appear). The induction for other factors $\mathcal{X}_i$ are described as in the above case. It follows that $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_T}^{\mathcal{X}} A_0$ is a semisimple perverse sheaf on $\mathcal{X}_L$. Then in a similar way as above, we can conclude that $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_L}^{\mathcal{X}} A_1 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})^{\le 0}$.

Next assume that $\mathcal{X} = G \times V$ is the enhanced type with $\dim V = n$. We see that $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_T}^{\mathcal{X}_L} A_0$ is a semisimlpe perverse sheaf on $\mathcal{X}_L$, and $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_T}^{\mathcal{X}} A_0$ is a semisimple perverse sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$. By Proposition 4.3, together with the degree shift, we have $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_T}^{\mathcal{X}} A_0[-\dim V/V_L] = \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_L}^{\mathcal{X}} (\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_T}^{\mathcal{X}_L} A_0)$. By a similar argument as before, we have $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}_L}^{\mathcal{X}} A_1 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})^{\le 0}$. Thus (6.10.1) holds.

6.11. We consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D & \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^P \arrow[l, "f"'] \arrow[r, "\rho"] & \mathcal{X} \\
D' \arrow[u, "\beta"] \arrow[d, "\gamma"'] & H \times P^{\iota\theta} \times V \arrow[l, "\phi"'] \arrow[u, "\sigma"'] \arrow[d, "\tau_1"] & \\
\mathcal{X}_L & P^{\iota\theta} \times V \arrow[l, "\pi_P"'] \arrow[r, "j"] & \mathcal{X},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
D &= H \times^{P^\theta} (L^{\iota\theta} \times V_L), \quad (P^\theta \text{ acts on } L^{\iota\theta} \text{ thorugh the map } P^\theta \to P^\theta/U_P^\theta \simeq L^\theta) \\
D' &= H \times L^{\iota\theta} \times V_L, \\
\phi &: (g, p, v) \mapsto (g, \overline{p}, \overline{v}), \\
\beta &: \text{the quotient map by } P^\theta, \\
f &: \text{the map induced from } \phi \text{ through the quotient by } P^\theta \\
\gamma &: (g, \ell, v) \mapsto (\ell, v), \\
\tau_1 &: (g, p, v) \mapsto (p, v),
\end{aligned}
$$

and the maps ρ, σ are as in (4.2.1), the maps j, π_P are as in 5.1. Note that the map $\pi_P \circ \tau_1 = \gamma \circ \phi$ coincides with the map $\tau : H \times P^{\iota\theta} \times V \to \mathcal{X}_L$ in (4.2.1). It is clear that both squares are cartesian squares.

Let $K \in \mathcal{M}^{L^\theta}(\mathcal{X}_L)$ be a perverse sheaf such that any constituent is contained in $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_L$. Since γ is a principal H-bundle and β is a principal P^θ-bundle, one can define $K' = \beta_\flat \widetilde{\gamma} K[-\dim P^\theta]$, so that $\widetilde{\gamma} K = \widetilde{\beta} K'$ with $K' \in \mathcal{M}(D)$. Since $\operatorname{Ind} K = \rho_! \sigma_\flat (\gamma \circ \phi)^* K[a]$ (see (4.2.2)), we see that

$$\operatorname{Ind} K \simeq \rho_! \widetilde{f} K'[a - c - \dim U_P^\theta], \tag{6.11.1}$$

where $c = \dim U_P^{\iota\theta} + \dim V/V_L$ (cf. 5.1). Let $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$. Recall that $\operatorname{Res} A = (\pi_P)_! j^* A(c)$. We define $\operatorname{Res}^+ A = f_! \rho^* A[d](c)$ with $d = \dim U_P^\theta$. We show that

$$\widetilde{\gamma}(\operatorname{Res} A) \simeq \widetilde{\beta}(\operatorname{Res}^+ A). \tag{6.11.2}$$

In fact, since both squares in the diagram are cartesian squares, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\gamma}(\operatorname{Res} A)[-\dim H](-c) &\simeq \phi_! (\tau_1)^* j^* A, \\
\widetilde{\beta}(\operatorname{Res}^+ A)[-\dim H](-c) &\simeq \phi_! \sigma^* \rho^* A.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\zeta = \rho \circ \sigma, \zeta' = j \circ \tau_1$. In order to show (6.11.2), it is enough to see that $\zeta^* A \simeq (\zeta')^* A$. But ζ (resp. ζ') is the composite of the inclusion $H \times P^{\iota\theta} \times V \hookrightarrow H \times \mathcal{X}$ and the map $H \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$, $(g, x, v) \mapsto (gxg^{-1}, gv)$ (resp. $(g, x, v) \mapsto (x, v)$). Since A is an H-equivariant perverse sheaf, we have $\zeta^* A \simeq (\zeta')^* A$, and (6.11.2) follows.

Next we show, for any integer i, that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(D)}(\operatorname{Res}^+ A[-e_{\mathcal{X}_L}], K'[i]) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})}(A, \operatorname{Ind} K[i]). \tag{6.11.3}$$

In fact, by 0.2, the left hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Hom}(f_!\rho^*A[d-e_{\mathcal{X}_L}](c), K'[i]) &\simeq \operatorname{Hom}(\rho^*A[d-e_{\mathcal{X}_L}](c), f^!K'[i]) \\ &\simeq \operatorname{Hom}(\rho^*A, f^*K'[i+2c-d+e_{\mathcal{X}_L}])\end{aligned}$$

since $f^! = f^*[2c](c)$ as f is smooth with connected fibres of dimension c. On the other hand, again by 0.2, the right hand side is equal to

$$\operatorname{Hom}(A, \rho_!\widetilde{f}K'[i+a-c-\dim U_P^\theta]) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}(\rho^*A, f^*K'[i+a-\dim U_P^\theta])$$

since $\rho_* = \rho_!$ as ρ is proper, and $\widetilde{f} = f^*[c]$. By definition in 6.6, $a - \dim U_P^\theta = 2c - d + e_{\mathcal{X}_L}$. Thus (6.11.3) holds.

Here we note that

$$\operatorname{Ind} K \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})^{\le 0}. \tag{6.11.4}$$

In fact, if we have an exact sequence $0 \to K_1 \to K_2 \to K_3 \to 0$ in $\mathcal{M}^{L^\theta}(\mathcal{X}_L)$, then (K_1, K_2, K_3) gives a distingushed triangle in $\mathcal{D}^{L^\theta}(\mathcal{X}_L)$. Since the functors $\tau^*, \sigma_\flat, \rho_!$ preserve the distinguished triangles, we see that $(\operatorname{Ind} K_1, \operatorname{Ind} K_2, \operatorname{Ind} K_3)$ is a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{D}^H(\mathcal{X})$. Hence we have a perverse cohomology exact sequence

$$\longrightarrow {}^pH^i(\operatorname{Ind} K_1) \longrightarrow {}^pH^i(\operatorname{Ind} K_2) \longrightarrow {}^pH^i(\operatorname{Ind} K_3) \longrightarrow$$

and if ${}^pH^i(\operatorname{Ind} K_1) = 0, {}^pH^i(\operatorname{Ind} K_3) = 0$, then ${}^pH^i(\operatorname{Ind} K_2) = 0$. In other words, if $\operatorname{Ind} K_1, \operatorname{Ind} K_3 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})^{\le 0}$, then $\operatorname{Ind} K_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})^{\le 0}$. Since we know by (6.10.1) that $\operatorname{Ind} A_1 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})^{\le 0}$ for any constituent A_1 of K, we obtain (6.11.4) by applying the above argument to a composition series of K.

If $i < 0$, we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})}(A, \operatorname{Ind} K[i]) = 0$ since $A \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$, and $\operatorname{Ind} K \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})^{\le 0}$ by (6.11.4). It follows from (6.11.3) that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(D)}(\operatorname{Res}^+ A[-e_{\mathcal{X}_L}], K'[i]) = 0 \qquad \text{for } i < 0. \tag{6.11.5}$$

Now we show that

$${}^pH^i(\operatorname{Res}^+ A[-e_{\mathcal{X}_L}]) = 0 \qquad \text{for } i > 0. \tag{6.11.6}$$

Suppose not, and let $i > 0$ be an integer such that ${}^pH^i(\operatorname{Res}^+ A[-e_{\mathcal{X}_L}]) \ne 0$. Then there exists a non-zero morphism

$$\operatorname{Res}^+ A[-e_{\mathcal{X}_L}] \to ({}^pH^i(\operatorname{Res}^+ A[-e_{\mathcal{X}_L}]))[-i].$$

Put $K = {}^pH^i(\operatorname{Res} A[-e_{\mathcal{X}_L}])$ and $K' = {}^pH^i(\operatorname{Res}^+ A[-e_{\mathcal{X}_L}])$. Then by Proposition 4.6, any constituent of K is contained in $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_L$. Since the perverse cohmology functor commutes with $\widetilde{\beta}, \widetilde{\gamma}$, (6.11.2) implies that $\widetilde{\beta}K' \simeq \widetilde{\gamma}K$. Thus, one can apply (6.11.5), which implies that there exists no non-zero morphism $\operatorname{Res}^+ A[-e_{\mathcal{X}_L}] \to K'[-i]$ for $i > 0$. This is a contradiction, and (6.11.6) follows.

(6.11.6) is equivalent to the statement that

$$\operatorname{Res}^+ A[-e_{\mathcal{X}_L}] \in \mathcal{D}(D)^{\le 0}. \tag{6.11.7}$$

Applying $\widetilde{\beta}$ to (6.11.7), we have $\widetilde{\beta}(\operatorname{Res}^+ A[-e_{\mathcal{X}_L}]) \in \mathcal{D}(D')^{\le 0}$. Thus by (6.11.2), we have $\widetilde{\gamma}(\operatorname{Res} A[-e_{\mathcal{X}_L}]) \in \mathcal{D}(D')^{\le 0}$, and so $\operatorname{Res} A[-e_{\mathcal{X}_L}] \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}_L)^{\le 0}$. Hence we have proved

(6.11.8) Theorem 6.7 (iii) holds for $\mathcal{X}$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}(A, \operatorname{Ind} K) &\simeq \operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Res}^+ A[-e_{\mathcal{X}_L}], K') && \text{(by (6.11.3) with } i = 0\text{)} \\
&\simeq \operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{\beta} \operatorname{Res}^+ A[-e_{\mathcal{X}_L}], \widetilde{\beta}K') && \text{(by (6.11.7) and (0.2.3))} \\
&\simeq \operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{\gamma} \operatorname{Res} A[-e_{\mathcal{X}_L}], \widetilde{\gamma}K) && \text{(by (6.11.2))} \\
&\simeq \operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Res} A[-e_{\mathcal{X}_L}], K) && \text{(by (6.11.8) and (0.2.3)).}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

(6.11.9) Theorem 6.7 (iv) holds for $\mathcal{X}$.

6.12. We consider the situation in Proposition 6.8. We shall prove (ii) in the proposition. So take $A_1 \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_L$. Then there exists $A_0 \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_T^0$ such that A_1 appears as a direct summand of the semisimple perverse sheaf $\operatorname{Ind}^{\circ}{}_{\mathcal{X}_T}^{\mathcal{X}_L} A_0$. In this case, $\operatorname{Ind}^{\circ}{}_{\mathcal{X}_T}^{\mathcal{X}} A_0$ is also a semisimple perverse sheaf, and by Propsition 4.3 together with the condition on shift, we have $\operatorname{Ind}^{\circ}{}_{\mathcal{X}_T}^{\mathcal{X}} A_0 = \operatorname{Ind}^{\circ}{}_{\mathcal{X}_L}^{\mathcal{X}}(\operatorname{Ind}^{\circ}{}_{\mathcal{X}_T}^{\mathcal{X}_L} A_0)$. Hence in a similar discussion as in (ii) of the thorem, we obtain (ii). If we notice that $c_0 = 2c - c_{\mathcal{X}_L}$, similar arguments as in the proof of (iii) and (iv) of the theorem imply that (iii) and (iv) of the proposition hold.

6.13. Finally, we show that

(6.13.1) Theorem 6.7 (i) and Proposition 6.8 (i) hold for any $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$.

In fact, the induction functors in the theorem and in the proposition only differ by shift. So it is enough to show the assertion for Proposition 6.8. Since G is not a torus, A is not cuspidal by Proposition 6.5. Hence there exists $L \subset P \ne G$ and $\mathcal{X}_L$ such that $\operatorname{Res} A[-c_{\mathcal{X}_L} - 1] \notin \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}_L)^{\le 0}$, namely ${}^pH^i(\operatorname{Res} A[-c_{\mathcal{X}_L}]) \ne 0$ for some $i \ge 0$.

First assume that $L \ne T$. By Proposition 6.8 (iii), ${}^pH^i(\operatorname{Res} A[-c_{\mathcal{X}_L}]) = 0$ for any $i > 0$. It follows that ${}^pH^0(\operatorname{Res} A[-c_{\mathcal{X}_L}]) \ne 0$, and there exists a non-zero morphsim $\operatorname{Res} A[-c_{\mathcal{X}_L}] \to {}^pH^0(\operatorname{Res} A[-c_{\mathcal{X}_L}])$. One can find a non-zero morphism $\operatorname{Res} A[-c_{\mathcal{X}_L}] \to A_1$, where $A_1 \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_L$ is a simple constituent of ${}^pH^0(\operatorname{Res} A[-c_{\mathcal{X}_L}])$.

By Applying Proposition 6.8 (iv), there exists a non-zero morphism $A \to \operatorname{Ind}^\circ A_1$. Since $\operatorname{Ind}^\circ A_1$ is a semisimple perverse sheaf, and A is a simple perverse sheaf, A is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\operatorname{Ind}^\circ A_1$. By induction, A_1 is a direct summand of $\operatorname{Ind}^{\circ\mathcal{X}_L}_{\mathcal{X}_T, B_L} A_0$ for a character sheaf $A_0 \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}^0_T$. Since $\operatorname{Ind}^\circ A_1$ is semisimple, A is a direct summand of $\operatorname{Ind}^{\circ\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{X}_L, P} \circ \operatorname{Ind}^{\circ\mathcal{X}_L}_{\mathcal{X}_T, B_L} = \operatorname{Ind}^{\circ\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{X}_T, B} A_0$. Hence (6.13.1) holds for $\mathcal{X}$.

Next assume that $L = T$. In this case $c_{\mathcal{X}_T} = e_{\mathcal{X}_T}$ for our choice $\mathcal{X}_T \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X})$. We consider $\mathcal{X}_T = T^{\iota\theta} \times V_T$ and $\mathcal{X}'_T = T^{\iota\theta} \times V'_T$. Assume that V'_T is a quotient of V_T, and let $p = \mathrm{id} \times p_0 : \mathcal{X}_T \to \mathcal{X}'_T$ be the map obtained from the projection $p_0 : V_T \to V'_T$. Then $\operatorname{Res}^{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{X}'_T} A = p_! \operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{X}'_T} A$. Now assume that ${}^pH^i(\operatorname{Res}^{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{X}_T} A[-e_{\mathcal{X}_T}]) \neq 0$ for some $i \geq 0$, and let $A_1 \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_T$ be its simple constituent. Then by (3.4.1), $A_1 = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V_1}[\dim \mathcal{X}_{T, V_1}]$ for a T^θ-stable subspace V_1 of V_T. Here we take $V'_T = V_T/V_1$. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V_1} = \mathcal{E} \boxtimes \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ on $T^{\iota\theta} \times V_1$, we see that $p_! A_1 = A'_1[-\dim V_1]$ for some $A'_1 \in (\widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_T)^0$. This shows that ${}^pH^i(\operatorname{Res}^{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{X}'_T} A[-e_{\mathcal{X}'_T}]) \neq 0$. In other words, we may replace $\mathcal{X}_T$ by $\mathcal{X}'_T$. Repeating this process, we reach to the case where any simple constituent of ${}^pH^i(\operatorname{Res} A[-e_{\mathcal{X}''_T}])$ is contained in $(\widehat{\mathcal{X}}''_T)^0$ for any $i \geq 0$. (But here $\mathcal{X}''_T$ is not necesarily contained in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X})$.) Then as noticed in (6.11.4), we have $\operatorname{Ind} K \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})^{\leq 0}$ for $K = {}^pH^i(\operatorname{Res} A[-e_{\mathcal{X}''_T}])$. We define $\operatorname{Res}^+ A$ as in (6.11.2). Then as in (6.11.7), we have $\operatorname{Res}^+ A[-e_{\mathcal{X}''_T}] \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})^{\leq 0}$, and

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}''_T)}(\operatorname{Res} A[-e_{\mathcal{X}''_T}], K) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})}(A, \operatorname{Ind} K).$$

Now by a similar argument as in the proof of the first case, one can find $A_0 \in (\widehat{\mathcal{X}}''_T)^0$ such that A is a direct summand of $\operatorname{Ind}^{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{X}''_T} A_0$, up to shift. Hence Theorem 6.7 (i) holds. But by (4.8.1) and (4.8.2), if A is a direct summand of $\operatorname{Ind}^{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{X}''_T} A_0$, up to shift, then one can replace $\mathcal{X}''_T$ by $\mathcal{X}_T \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X})$. Thus (6.13.1) holds. This completes the proof of the theorem and the proposition.

Remark 6.14. In order to prove Theorem 6.7 (i), whcih implies the classification of character sheaves, the general setting as in the theorem is unnecessary. In fact, in the pure exotic case, we hav only to consider $\mathcal{X}_L \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}))$ for $L \neq T$, and general $\mathcal{X}_T$. The discussion for the proof of the proposition is parallel to the discussion for the theorem, and is independent at least for $L \neq T$.

References

[AH] P. Achar and A. Henderson; Orbit closures in the enhanced nilpotent cone, Adv. in Math. **219** (2008), 27-62, Corrigendum, **228** (2011), 2984-2988.

[BBD] A.A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne; Faisceaux pervers, Astérisque **100** (1982).

[BKS] E. Bannai, N. Kawanaka and S.-Y. Song; The character table of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(GL_{2n}(\mathbf{F}_q), Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{F}_q))$, J. Algebra, **129** (1990), 320–366.

[BL] J. Bernstein and P. Lunts; Equivariant sheaves and functors, Lectue Note in Math. **1578**, Springer-Verlag, 1994.

[FG] M. Finkelberg and V. Ginzburg; Cherednik algebras for algebraic curves, Progress in Math. **284**, (2010), 121-153

[FGT] M. Finkelberg, V. Ginzburg and R. Travkin; Mirabolic affine Grassmanian and character sheaves, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **14** (2009), 607-628.

[Gi] V. Ginzburg; Admissible modules on a symmetric space, Astérisque **173-174** (1989), 199-255.

[Gr] I. Grojnowski; Character sheaves on symmetric spaces, Ph.D. Thesis, MIT, 1992, available at `www.dpmms.cam.ac.uk/~groj/thesis.ps`.

[H1] A. Henderson; Fourier transform, parabolic induction, and nilpotent orbits, Transformation Groups, **6**, (2001), 353-370.

[H2] A. Henderson; Spherical functions and character sheaves, unpublished note.

[HT] A. Henderson and P.E. Trapa; The exotic Robinson- Schensted correspondence, preprint, arXiv:1111.5050v1.

[Ka1] S. Kato; An exotic Deligne-Langlands correspondence for symplectic groups, Duke Math. J. **148** (2009), 306-371.

[Ka2] S. Kato; Deformations of nilpotent cones and Springer correspondence, Amer. J. of Math. **133** (2011), 519-553.

[L3] G. Lusztig; Character sheaves, I, Adv. in Math., **56** (1985), 193-227.

[SS] T. Shoji and K. Sorlin; Exotic symmetric space over a finite field, I, to appear in Transformation Groups. arXiv:1207.5093

[SS2] T. Shoji and K. Sorlin; Exotic symmetric space over a finite field, II. preprint. arXiv:1212.5861.

[T] R. Travkin; Mirabolic Robinson-Schensted-Knuth corrspondence, preprint.

T. Shoji
Department of Mathematics, Tongji University
1239 Siping Road, Shanghai 200092, P. R. China
E-mail: `shoji@tongji.edu.cn`

K. Sorlin
L.A.M.F.A, CNRS UMR 7352, Université de Picardie-Jules Verne
33 rue Saint Leu, F-80039, Amiens, Cedex 1, France
E-mail : `karine.sorlin@u-picardie.fr`